APPENDIX H

TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

.....

.....

Traffic Impact Study – Draft Report

Caliber Charter School

VALLEJO, CA

12 July 2016

Prepared for:



Prepared by:



Traffic Engineering & Transportation Planning



This report has been prepared and certified by Grant P. Johnson, TE, Principal

WWW.PRISM.ENGINEERING

11885 Aspen Heights Ct, Rancho Cordova, CA 95742 916.903.3862

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
Mitigations Required 1.0 INTRODUCTION	5 7
Figure 1.1 Project Site Location and Study Intersections 2.0. Analysis Methodology	7 8
2.1. Development Conditions	8
2.2. Operating Conditions and Criteria for Intersections	9
Table 2.1 – Intersection Level of Service Definitions2.3. Thresholds of Significance	9 10
Table 2.2 – Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) thresholds for project impacts2.4.Study Intersections Included in Analysis	10 11
3.0 DOCUMENTATION OF ANALYSIS and FINDINGS	12
3.1 Existing Street Network and Transportation Systems	12
Existing Project Site Uses	12
Existing Roadway Network	1Z
Existing Provels and Redestrian Escilities	14
Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	14
Frighte S.1 – Transit Lines and Centers in Neighbor to Project School Site	16
Figure 3.2 –Caliber Charter School Site Plan	10
Existing (2015) Lane Configurations. Traffic Control. and Peak Hour Turning Move	ment
Volumes	17
Figure 3.3 – Year 2015 AM Peak Hour Turning Movements, Existing Lanes	18
Figure 3.4–Year 2015 PM Peak Hour Turning Movements, Existing Lanes	19
Existing (2015) Levels of Service at Study Intersections	20
Table 3.1 – Existing (2015) Levels of Service Summary	20
Figure 3.5 – Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control	21
3.2. Near Term Future Year 2018 Conditions	22
Nearby Roadway and Development Projects	22
Approved/Pending Development Projects in Project Vicinity	22
Figure 3.6 – Post Office Site Plan <i>(June 2016)</i>	23
Table 3.2 - Post Office Project Trip Generation	24
Calculation of Future Growth for Background Traffic.	24
Table 3.3 - Calculation of Growth for Background Traffic using STA Traffic Model	25



Figure 3.8 – Year 2018 PM Peak Growth Projections (includes post office)	27
3.3 Proposed Project and Trip Generation	
Proposed Site Uses	
Project Trip Generation	
Table 3.4 - Caliber Charter School Vehicle Occupancy Calculation	30
Table 3.5 - Average Vehicle Occupancy by Purpose of Travel NHTS 2009	31
Project Trin Generation	32
Table 3.6 – Project Trip Generation for K_{-8} School (Vear 2018)	22
2.4 Project Trip Distribution and Circulation Alternatives	
5.4 Project http://bithbution.and circulation Alternatives	
Figure 3.9 – Trip Distribution Pattern for Caliber Charter School	33
Additional Trin Distribution and Circulation Altornatives Defined	2/
Figure 2.10. K. 8. Preject ONLY, ANA Peak Hour Alternative A Upconstrained Tw	
Figure 3.10 – K-8 Project ONLY, AIVI Peak Hour Alternative A Unconstrained Tur	
Figure 3.11 – K-8 Project ONLY, PWI Peak Hour Alternative A Unconstrained Tul	rning ivioves
Table 3.7 – Trip Distribution Pattern for Caliber Charter School, Alternative A Un	constrained
2 E Branasad Braiast Lana Caamatry	
3.5 Proposed Project Lane Geometry	
3.5 Proposed Project Lane Geometry	
Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Fr	37 ee-flow Trip
Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals	37 ee-flow Trip 38
Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Fro Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification	37 ee-flow Trip 38 39
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave. 	37 ee-flow Trip 38 39 40
Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Fro Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety	37 ee-flow Trip 38 39 40 40
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave. Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety Intersection of Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway Street Modification 	37 ee-flow Trip 38 39 40 40 40
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave. Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety Intersection of Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway Street Modification 3.6 Alternatives for Charter School Traffic Circulation. 	37 ee-flow Trip 38 39 40 40 40 40 41
 S.S Proposed Project Lane Geometry Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals	37 ee-flow Trip 38 39 40 40 40 41
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals	37 ee-flow Trip 38 39 40 40 40 41
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave. Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety Intersection of Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway Street Modification 3.6 Alternatives for Charter School Traffic Circulation. Alternative A. Alternative B. 	37 ee-flow Trip 38 39 40 40 40 41 41
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals	
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave. Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety Intersection of Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway Street Modification 3.6 Alternatives for Charter School Traffic Circulation. Alternative A. Alternative B. Alternative D. 	
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave. Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety Intersection of Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway Street Modification 3.6 Alternatives for Charter School Traffic Circulation. Alternative A. Alternative B. Alternative C. Alternative D. Figure 3.14 – Charter School Traffic: <i>ALTERNATIVE B</i>. AM Peak 	
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave. Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety Intersection of Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway Street Modification 3.6 Alternatives for Charter School Traffic Circulation. Alternative A. Alternative B. Alternative D. Figure 3.14 – Charter School Traffic: <i>ALTERNATIVE B</i>, AM Peak Figure 3.15 – Charter School Traffic: <i>ALTERNATIVE C</i>, AM Peak 	
 Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Free Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals	
 S.S. Proposed Project Lane Geometry. Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained From Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals. Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification. Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave. Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety Intersection of Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway Street Modification	
 S.S. Proposed Project Lane Geometry. Figure 3.12 – Charter School Project Traffic, Alternative A Unconstrained Fro Distribution, AM Peak Hour Totals Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification Figure 3.13 – Raised Island Channelization for Napa Street, Valle Vista Ave. Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety Intersection of Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway Street Modification 3.6 Alternatives for Charter School Traffic Circulation. Alternative A. Alternative B. Alternative D. Figure 3.14 – Charter School Traffic: <i>ALTERNATIVE B</i>, AM Peak Figure 3.15 – Charter School Traffic: <i>ALTERNATIVE C</i>, AM Peak Figure 3.16 – K-8 Project ONLY, <i>ALTERNATIVE C</i>, AM Peak Figure 3.17 – Charter School with TDM: <i>ALTERNATIVE D</i>, AM Peak 	



3.7	Analysis of Near-Term (2018) Traffic Level of Service	47
	Preferred Charter School Traffic Circulation Alternative	47
	Year 2018 Capacity Analysis for Alternative A	48
	Table 3.8 Near Term Year 2018 plus Project Alternative A	48
	Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)	48
	Figure 3.19 – Year 2018 AM Peak Hour plus Project Alternative A	49
	Figure 3.20 – Year 2018 PM Peak Hour plus Project Alternative A	50
	Year 2018 Capacity Analysis for Alternative B	51
	Table 3.9 Near Term Year 2018 plus Project Alternative B	51
	Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)	51
	Year 2018 Capacity Analysis for Alternative C	52
	Table 3.10 Near Term Year 2018 plus Project Alternative C	52
	Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)	52
	Figure 3.21 – Year 2018 AM Peak Hour plus Project Alternative B or C	53
	Figure 3.22 – Year 2018 PM Peak Hour plus Project Alternative B or C	54
	Year 2018 Capacity Analysis for Alternative D	55
	Table 3.11 Near Term Year 2018 plus Project Alternative D	55
	Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)	55
	Figure 3.23 – Year 2018 AM Peak Hour plus Project Alternative D	56
	Figure 3.24 – Year 2018 PM Peak Hour plus Project Alternative D	57
3	.8 LONG-TERM (2035) CONDITIONS	58
	Long-Term (2035) Lane Configurations and Traffic Control	58
	Year 2035 Forecast	58
	Year 2035 Capacity Analysis for Alternative A	59
	Table 3.12 Long Term Year 2035 plus Project Alternative A	59
	Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)	59
	Figure 3.25– Year 2035 AM Peak, No Project	60
	Figure 3.26– Year 2035 PM Peak, No Project	61
	Figure 3.27 – Year 2035 AM Peak Hour plus Project Alternative A	62
	Figure 3.28 – Year 2035 PM Peak Hour plus Project Alternative A	63
	Year 2035 Capacity Analysis for Alternative B	64
	Table 3.13 Long Term Year 2035 plus Project Alternative B	64
	Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)	64
	Year 2035 Capacity Analysis for Alternative C	65
	Table 3.14 Long Term Year 2035 plus Project Alternative C	65
	Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)	65
	Figure 3.29 – Year 2035 AM Peak Hour plus Project Alternatives B and C	66
	Figure 3.30 – Year 2035 PM Peak Hour plus Project Alternatives B and C	67
	Year 2035 Capacity Analysis for Alternative D	68
	Table 3.15 Long Term Year 2035 plus Project Alternative D	68



	Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)	
	Figure 3.31 – Year 2035 AM Peak Hour plus Project Alternative D	
	Figure 3.32 – Year 2035 PM Peak Hour plus Project Alternatives D	
3	3.9 NEEDED INTERSECTION MITIGATIONS	71
	Figure 3.33 – Mitigation for Nebraska St. at Broadway St	71
	Figure 3.34 – Mitigation for Nebraska St. at Sonoma Blvd	
	Figure 3.35 – Existing Lanes at Redwood St. and Broadway/Alameda St	72
	Figure 3.36 – Mitigation for Redwood St. at Broadway St.	
	Figure 3.37 – Existing Lanes for Valle Vista Ave. at Broadway St.	74
	Figure 3.38 – Mitigation Lanes for Valle Vista Ave. at Broadway St	74
4.0	OTHER CONSIDERATIONS	
F	Potential Effects on Transit, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Mobility	75
C	Dueues at Study Intersections	
	Table 4.1 Year 2018 Queue Analysis Summary for 95 th Percentile	
	Table 4.2 Year 2035 Queue Analysis Summary for 95 th Percentile	
	Queue Problems and Mitigations.	
5.0	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND RECOMMENDED MITIGATION	79
Т	Traffic Operations Evaluation of Oregon Street and Napa Street Charter School Parki	ng Lots
A	Access Driveway	
	Figure 4.4 — Deised Jaland Channelization and Strining for Name Street and Valle Vie	.t.a. Aa
	Figure 4.1 – Raised Island Channelization and Striping for Napa Street and Valle Vis	ta Ave.
	Charter School Arrivel Oceans	80
	Charter School Arrival Queue.	81
	Staggered Start Time Willigation Option	81
	Bus Turnout on Valle Vista Avenue	82
	Figure 5.1 Existing Sol rans Bus Stop along Sereno Drive at Kaiser Entrance	82
	Figure 5.2 Recommended Bus Stop Turnout on Valle Vista east of Napa Street	
A D 7	IVIITIGATION OF YEAR 2035 CUMUIATIVE TRAFFIC AT SONOMA BIVG. AND COUCH ST	
APF	PENDIX	



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report analyzed several short term and long term scenarios for the proposed Caliber Charter School, consistent with the requirements found in the City's <u>Traffic Impact Analysis/Study</u> <u>Guidelines</u>. The with and without project scenario analysis results were summarized in several tables and graphics in the body of this report. The study area consisted of several local roadways fronting the project parcel, as well as eleven (11) other intersections in the vicinity of the project.

The study area's existing roadway system and 11 study intersections currently operate at LOS C or better conditions for the Year 2015, for both the am and pm peak hour time periods. There are generally "free flow" traffic conditions with very little delay to motorists. There is reserve capacity in the system for additional growth and projects. This study examined a background growth impact based on the Solano Transportation Authority's traffic model for Year 2010 and 2040 conditions, as well as a pending Federal Post Office development to be built at the corner of Valle Vista Ave. and Couch / Napa Streets. This background growth plus the post office was always present in the base volumes used to also analyze the "plus charter school project" scenarios in this report. Overall, the addition of the project volumes never did exceed the HCM 2000 method LOS D condition in all scenarios from Year 2018 up to the Year 2035.

Mitigations Required

Intersections exceeding the City's Threshold of Significant Impact. There were four (4) intersections that exceeded the City's various criteria and thresholds ¹for significant impacts when the Preferred Alternative D Project traffic was added in, or where mitigations were needed to achieve certain school traffic circulation purposes (such as on Valle Vista Ave, and Napa St.). In addition, there are some left turn pocket queue overages for street segment considerations which have specific mitigations proposed in section 4 and 5 of this report. As a result, mitigation measures were explored to mitigate these conditions. The details of these mitigations are discussed in more detail in Section 3.9 of this report, Needed Mitigations. In short, the following intersections and street segments required mitigation with the addition of the project traffic:

- Int. #1: Nebraska St. at Broadway St.
- Int. #2: Nebraska St. at Sonoma Blvd.
- Int. #7: Redwood St. at Broadway St.
- Int. #10: Valle Vista Ave. at Broadway St.
- Valle Vista Ave. from Couch St. to Broadway St.
- Napa St. from Oregon St. to Valle Vista Ave.

Figure ES.1 has been prepared to visually summarize the various mitigations.

¹ Level of Service, change in V/C ratio, or Queue length problems factor into this conclusion





These mitigations will satisfy the City's requirement to mitigate all significant impacts of the project to the study intersections and surround street system. All study intersections would be at LOS D or better conditions (see Table 3.15 for Alternative D Project LOS summary).



1.0 INTRODUCTION

PRISM Engineering was retained as a *sub consultant* to Placeworks (currently under contract with the City of Vallejo), to prepare a traffic study for the proposed Caliber Charter School project in Vallejo, CA. The proposed charter school site is to be constructed on a four (4) acre site bounded by Valle Vista on the north, Napa Street on the west, and Oregon Avenue on the south.





The school will consist of 900 elementary school students. Figure 1.1 shows the location of the project site in relation to the surround street system and 11 study intersections.

This traffic study scope and methodology was prepared based on discussions with City of Vallejo staff. This study closely follows the methodology and procedures outlined in the <u>City of Vallejo Public Works Department Traffic Impact Analysis/Study Guidelines</u> (TIA/SG). This study addresses the traffic and transportation effects of the proposed project in order to assist the project sponsor and the city in project planning and determining conditions of approval for the project.

2.0. Analysis Methodology

2.1. Development Conditions

The traffic study was based on the following development conditions:

- Existing (2015) conditions unmitigated (identify any existing deficiencies) Based on current traffic counts in late 2015 and existing roadway geometry and traffic control.
- Project Opening Year (2018) Near-Term Base Traffic Condition (includes Post Office with customer access from Napa Street), unmitigated Based on anticipated growth in baseline traffic volumes related to traffic added by approved and pending (but not yet completed) developments likely to occur at the time the project is constructed by the end of 2014. In addition, the adjacent post office project traffic was added in.
- Project Opening Year (2018) Base plus Other Projects (includes Post Office) plus K-8 Elementary School Project Traffic Condition with Mitigation, if necessary
- Future Buildout Year Cumulative Long-Term (2035) Conditions, unmitigated Based on 2040 future year traffic forecasts from the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) Travel Demand Forecast model. Future year will correspond with approximate buildout of City's General Plan. Future Buildout Year Cumulative Long-Term (2035) Conditions plus Project, unmitigated – Based on 2040 future year traffic forecasts from the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) Travel Demand Forecast model. Future year will correspond with approximate buildout of City's General Plan.
- Future Buildout Year Cumulative Long-Term (2035) Conditions, with Mitigation Based on 2040 future year traffic forecasts from the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) Travel Demand Forecast model plus project. Future year will correspond with approximate buildout of City's General Plan.



2.2. Operating Conditions and Criteria for Intersections

Analysis of significant environmental impacts at intersections is based on the concept of Level of Service (LOS). The LOS of an intersection is a qualitative measure used to describe operational conditions, and ranges from LOS A (best, minimal delay), to LOS F (worst, heavy delays) where the intersection is operating at or near its functional capacity. Levels of Service for this study were determined using the *Highway Capacity Manual, 2000* (HCM) methodologies which are implements in the *SynchroPro* (Version 9) traffic analysis software.² Table 2.1 relates the operational characteristics associated with each LOS category for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Level of		Avg. delay per	vehicle, sec/veh
Service	Description	Signalized	Un-Signalized
А	Free flow with no delays. Users are virtually unaffected by others in the traffic stream	≤ 10	≤ 10
В	Stable traffic. Traffic flows smoothly with few delays.	> 10 - 20	> 10 - 15
С	Stable flow but the operation of individual users becomes affected by other vehicles. Modest delays.	> 20-35	> 15 – 25
D	Approaching unstable flow. Operation of individual users becomes significantly affected by other vehicles. Delays may be more than one cycle during peak hours.	> 35 - 55	> 25 - 35
E	Unstable flow with operating conditions at or near the capacity level. Long delays and vehicle queuing.	> 55 – 80	> 35 – 50
F	Forced or breakdown flow that causes reduced capacity. Stop and go traffic conditions. Excessive long delays and vehicle queuing.	> 80	> 50
Sources: Trai	nsportation Research Board, <i>Highway Capacity Manual 2000,</i> National R	esearch Council, 2000	

Table 2.1 – Intersection Level of Service Definitions

PRISM Engineering obtained the existing intersection approach peak hour factors, signal phase configurations, etc. in the field during the data collection task for 11 study intersections in the City of Vallejo. We used this information in the analysis.

The HCM includes procedures for analyzing side-street stop controlled (SSSC), all-way stopcontrolled (AWSC), and signalized intersections. The SSSC procedure defines LOS as a function

² The HCM 2000 methodology was used in this study because of backwards compatibility with intersection capacity analysis software in use today. Although a newer version of the Highway Capacity Manual was published in 2010; most traffic analysis software vendors including SynchroPro still include the analysis of U-Turns, shared lanes, unsignalized, etc. which cannot be analyzed in the 2010 methodology (errors occur). The 2010 method only works under very limited circumstances in SynchroPro 9, and was not used in this study. Therefore, Synchro 9 using the HCM 2000 setting was used for analysis, similar to previous studies prepared by other transportation consulting firms.



of average control delay for each minor street approach movement. Conversely, the AWSC and signalized intersection procedures define LOS as a function of average control delay for the intersection as a whole. For SSSC intersections, level of service is report for the worst approach as well as for the intersection as a whole.

2.3. Thresholds of Significance

Mitigation measures are required for intersections that show a significant project impact per Table 2.2, and operate at LOS D or worse under near term plus project and long term plus project conditions. LOS with mitigations must be improved to LOS D or better.³

LOS without project	Increase in V/C with project					
С	> 0.04					
D	> 0.02					
E or F	> 0.01					
Source: City of Vallejo Traffic Impact Analysis/Study Guidelines, 2008.						

Table 2.2 – Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) thresholds for project impacts

Per the City of Vallejo Traffic Impact/Analysis Study Guidelines, significant impacts for City controlled intersections are created when traffic from the proposed project results in an increase in Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) of more than the allowable thresholds. For SSSC intersections, impacts were based on the V/C for the intersection as a whole, not for the worst approach. The V/C ratio represents the ratio of demand flow rate (volume) to capacity. A V/C over 1.00 is equivalent to LOS F and represents oversaturated conditions. Conversely, a V/C below 0.6 is equivalent to LOS A.

It is important to note that intersection LOS is based on delay as presented in Table 2.1. The V/C values presented at City intersections are for determination of significant impacts. Table 2.2 presents the V/C thresholds for significant impact as defined in the City's guidelines.

The effects of vehicle queuing were also analyzed and the 95th percentile queue is reported for all study intersections. The 95th percentile queue length represents a condition where 95 percent of the time during the peak period, traffic volumes and related queuing will be at, or less, than the queue length determined by the analysis. This is referred to as the "95th percentile queue." Average queuing is generally less. Queuing is considered a potentially significant impact since queues that exceed turn pocket length can create potentially hazardous conditions by blocking or disrupting through traffic in adjacent travel lanes. However, these potentially hazardous queues are typically associated with left-turn movements. Locations where the right

³ City of Vallejo Traffic Impact Analysis/Study Guidelines.



turn pocket storage is exceeded is not considered potentially hazardous because the right turn movement will go at the same time as the through movement and the additional vehicles that spill out over the turn pocket will not be hindering or disrupting the adjacent through traffic as would be the case in most left turn pockets.⁴ Thus, for purposes of this analysis, a queuing impact is considered to occur under conditions where project traffic causes the queue in a left turn pocket to extend beyond the turn pocket by 25 feet or more (i.e., the length of one vehicle) into adjacent traffic lanes that operate (i.e., move) separately from the left turn lane. Where the vehicle queue already exceeds that turn pocket length under pre-project conditions, a project impact would occur if project traffic lengthens the queue by 25 feet or more.

2.4. Study Intersections Included in Analysis

The proposed project will generate new vehicular trips that will increase traffic volumes on the nearby street network. To assess changes in traffic conditions associated with the project, the following intersections, illustrated in Figure 1, were selected in coordination with the City of Vallejo for evaluation in this traffic study:

- 1. Broadway St. at Nebraska St.
- 2. Sonoma Blvd. at Nebraska St.
- 3. Sonoma Boulevard and Couch Street
- 4. Sonoma Blvd. at Valle Vista Ave.
- 5. Redwood Street and Sonoma Boulevard
- 6. Redwood Street and Couch Street
- 7. Broadway St. at Redwood St.
- 8. Couch St. at Valle Vista Ave.
- 9. Napa St. at Valle Vista Ave.
- 10. Broadway St. at Valle Vista
- 11. Broadway St. at Oregon St.

In addition, the City has identified three street segment locations as needing analysis and assessment in the traffic study:

- 1. Napa St. (Valle Vista Ave. to Oregon St.)
- 2. Oregon St. (Napa St. to Broadway St.)
- 3. Valle Vista Ave. (Sonoma Blvd. to North Camino Alto)

These roadways will be studied in terms of traffic operations and queue lengths, etc.

⁴ If a left turn movement operates (i.e. moves) at the same time as the through movement such as with split signal phasing, then a left turn queue that exceeds the turn storage is not considered an impact.



3.0 DOCUMENTATION OF ANALYSIS and FINDINGS

In this section, the existing conditions of the project study area intersections and roadways are documented in terms of roadway descriptions, and intersection capacity level of service analyses.

3.1 Existing Street Network and Transportation Systems

Existing Project Site Uses

The proposed charter school project will be constructed on a lot 4 acres in size and bounded by Valle Vista Avenue on the north, Napa Street on the west, and Oregon Avenue on the south. The east side is adjacent to another lot. The site has some existing abandoned use and will be complete redeveloped into a charter school campus for elementary 7school students.

Existing Roadway Network

Below is a description of the principal roadways included in this study.

Sonoma Boulevard (SR 29)

In the study area, Sonoma Blvd is a north south four lane arterial with left turn bays at signalized intersections south of Couch St., but then is a divided arterial with a large 26' partially landscaped median which allows for dual left turn bays at signalized intersections. This arterial is in a commercial district, but the speed limit is posted at 30 mph near Nebraska Street. The speed limit on this state highway is set at 40 mph just north of Sereno Drive in both the northbound and southbound directions. All major intersections are signalized along this corridor.

Broadway Street (Lincoln Highway)

Broadway Street is a north-south four lane arterial roadway in the study area with left turn bays at signalized intersections. At unsignalized intersections there are no left turn bays, but because the road width is generally the same, in these locations there is also on-street parking. This road is posted at 35 mph north of Nebraska and 30 mph to the south. This roadway's T-intersection with Oregon Avenue near the project site is unsignalized, does not have a left turn bay on Broadway St., and has stop sign control for Oregon Ave. traffic. All major intersections along this corridor are signalized control.

Redwood Street-Redwood Parkway

Redwood Street is an east-west arterial roadway connecting Sacramento Street to the west and the I-80 Interchange to the east and Columbus Parkway to the east. East of I80, it becomes Redwood Parkway and serves numerous residential neighborhoods in eastern Vallejo. Redwood Street is a 4 lane undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 35 mph. Redwood Parkway is a 4 lane divided roadway with a posted speed limit of 30 mph.



Couch Street

Couch Street is a north-south four lane arterial roadway connecting with Sonoma Blvd on the south end and Broadway Street on the north end. In some segments it has a twoway left turn lane in the median area, with some left turn bays in others. Much of the centerline striping is also dual yellow no passing. The speed limit is set at 30 mph north of Sonoma Blvd.

Valle Vista Avenue

Valle Vista Ave. varies in width, but generally is a two lane east-west collector level roadway connecting to Sacramento Street on its west end and Tuolumne Street on its east end. To the west of Couch Street, it is a residential street with houses fronting on at least one side, but continually on both sides east of Broadway to Tuolumne. The speed limit is posted at 25 mph west of Broadway.

Roadway Analysis: LOS B. Current volumes on this street from Sonoma Blvd. to North Camino Alto during the am or pm peak hour do not create any queue lengths that overrun existing turn pockets or lanes. Level of service is generally LOS B or better conditions, since LOS B exists at Sonoma Blvd, and at Couch Street, and at Broadway Street.

Napa Street

Napa Street is a north-south collector road that connects Valle Vista Ave. on the north with Oregon St. on the south. Napa St. connects to Oregon St. at a right angle and is a continuous roadway. Napa Street NB approach is stop controlled at its intersection with Valle Vista Ave. There is perpendicular and angled parking on both sides of the street where there are not driveways present. The street width is 60 feet from curb to curb, with one lane of travel in each direction. Speed limits are not posted on this street.

Roadway Analysis: LOS A. Current volumes on this street from Valle Vista Ave. to Oregon Street during the am or pm peak hour do not create any queue lengths that overrun existing turn pockets or lanes. Level of service is LOS A conditions throughout this street, since LOS A exists at Valle Vista (with LOS B for the NB stop sign), and LOS A exists at Oregon Street (which is currently an uncontrolled right angle intersection, a continuous connection).

Oregon Avenue

Oregon Street is an east-west collector road that connects Napa St. on the west end with Broadway St. on the east end. Oregon St. connects to Napa St. at a right angle and is a continuous roadway. There is perpendicular and angled parking on both sides of the street where there are not driveways present. The street width is 60 feet from curb to



curb, with one lane of travel in each direction. Oregon St. EB approach is stop controlled at its intersection with Broadway St. Speed limits are not posted on this street.

Roadway Analysis: LOS A. Current volumes on this street from Napa Street to Broadway Street during the am or pm peak hour do not create any significant queue lengths at the stop controlled intersection approach at Broadway Street. Level of service is LOS A conditions throughout this street, since LOS A exists at Broadway Street (with LOS B for the EB stop sign), and LOS A exists at Napa Street (which is currently an uncontrolled right angle intersection, a continuous connection).

Nebraska Street

Nebraska Street is an east-west collector level two lane roadway generally with a 36-foot curb to curb width. It connects to Sacramento Street on its west end and Slate St. on its east end. For the majority of its length it is a residential street with housing frontage on both sides of the road. It is classified as a residential district for prima facie speed limit purposes and is posted at 25 mph with regulatory signage.

Existing Transit Facilities

The charter school project site is served by transit service which passes along its north border on Valle Vista Avenue. Solano County Transit (SolTrans) provides bus service throughout the City, but Line 1 travels primarily north and south along Broadway Street and Sonoma Blvd. with the mid portion of the route traveling east-west on Valle Vista Avenue adjacent to the project site. Line 1 travels to and from the Vallejo Transit Center (VTC) where numerous transfers to other locations throughout the City can take place (1.8 miles away), and the Serrano Transit Center which is located approximately $\frac{3}{4}$ mile to the north from the project site. Figure 3.1 shows the location of the project SCHOOL site in relation to the transit network in the City.

Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

Sidewalks currently provide walking facilities between the proposed charter school project site to nearby transit stops, and the adjacent residential and commercial land uses. These sidewalks also connect to the existing Sereno Transit Center at about 3,500 feet to the north of the school on Sereno Drive via Couch Street, however, one portion of Couch Street does not have sidewalks, but has a dirt shoulder upon which pedestrians can walk.



K-8 Traffic Impact Study – DRAFT Report, Caliber Charter School, Vallejo, CA



Source: SOLTRANS and PRISM Engineering

There are no bike lanes in the immediate vicinity of the school site (over a mile away to the nearest dedicated bike lane). Bike lanes can provide safe travel for cyclists who must share the roadway with motor vehicles. The challenge for the existing roadways in the vicinity of the project site is roadway width especially on Broadway Street or Sonoma Blvd. Within the project's vicinity, there is a designated Bike Route with signage along Valle Vista Avenue from Sacramento



Street on the west to Tuolumne Street on the east end. However, there are no bike lanes striped on this road, no physical space dedicated for bike travel as there is not enough room. In such a case, cyclists must share the road's outer-most travel lane, and even when such a lane may be designated as Class III bike route, the speeds on roads such as Broadway Street and Sonoma Blvd. make it undesirable from a safety standpoint to ever see a Class III Bike Route installed.

A better idea would be to modify the lane striping to accommodate dedicated bike lanes (road diet). Bike Route signs are installed on Valle Vista Avenue, a two lane residential collector road with slower speeds (25 mph posted). Other "bicycle friendly" routes are located about a mile to the south of Valle Vista Avenue which include Tennessee Street and Louisiana Street. These are also east-west roadways, Tennessee being a four lane facility and Louisiana being a two lane collector. These roads do not have dedicated lane striping for bike lanes. They do feed potential bike traffic westerly into Mare Island Way which is a two lane arterial to the north of Hickborn Street, and four lanes to the south. Mare Island Way is a bike friendly facility, generally about 1 mile to the west of Napa Street and accessible via Valle Vista Avenue to Sacramento Street (which also has dedicated bike lane striping about 1/3 of a mile to the north of Valle Vista Ave.). Mare Island has dedicated striped bike lanes on each side of the road from Florida Street on the south to where it transitions into Sacramento Street on the north end. This north-south bike lane route is not proximate to the Charter School proposed site, and is about 1.5 miles to the west of the school site. There are no existing north to south roadways with bike lanes or bike routes that could serve the school site. On Sonoma Blvd. and Broadway Street (four lane arterial roadways) the outer-most lane is at the edge of gutter/curb, leaving no room for a striped bike lane. Cyclists would have to share the lane with motor vehicles, and the speeds on these roads are high enough to make this an unsafe condition for a cyclist.

Sidewalks for pedestrians exist on both sides of all study streets and intersections, with the exception of the north side of Oregon Street at its west end where it connects with Napa Street having about 360 feet of curb only mixed with a long driveway opening currently used as parking spaces, but no sidewalk exists. All other study roads have complete sidewalks or a pedestrian walkable path or area safely off the traveled way of vehicles.

Existing Site Access

Access to the project site is proposed from Napa Street and Oregon Avenue which front the project site. No access is proposed from Valle Vista Avenue on the north side. The proposed project site plan is shown in Figure 3.2 diagramming proposed access to Napa Street and Oregon Street and an out of the proposed parking lot location on the southwest corner of the project site. The parking lot area is where school drop-off lanes will take place. The site plan shows a designated curb for student drop off along the driveway that enters from Oregon Street.





Existing (2015) Lane Configurations, Traffic Control, and Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes

Existing intersection lane configurations, traffic controls, and weekday intersection turning movement volumes are illustrated in Figures 3.3 and 3.4 for the AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour, respectively.













Existing (2015) Levels of Service at Study Intersections

Traffic operations were evaluated at the study intersections under existing traffic conditions. The HCM methodology contained in SynchroPro was used for both signalized and unsignalized SSSC⁵ conditions. Results of the analysis for the existing Year 2015 am and pm peak hour conditions are presented in Table 3.1. As shown in Table 3.1, all intersections operate at acceptable LOS C or better conditions during the AM and PM peak hours. Detailed capacity analysis worksheets are provided in the Appendix.

		Туре		EXISTING YEAR 2015*							
		of	LOS		AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour			
	INTERSECTION LOCATION	Control	Target		LOS	Delay	V/C^1		LOS	Delay	V/C ¹
						-					
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	Signal	D		C	21.2	0.40		C	21.0	0.43
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	Signal	D		В	18.0	0.30		С	20.1	0.51
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	Signal	D		В	16.0	0.32		В	13.2	0.52
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	Signal	D		В	16.6	0.33		В	19.3	0.47
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	Signal	D		С	24.5	0.36		С	28.0	0.61
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	Signal	D		В	15.4	0.24		В	15.7	0.35
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	Signal	D		С	23.9	0.47		С	29.3	0.65
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	Signal	D		А	7.6	0.13		А	9.5	0.20
0	Valle Vista Ave. and Nana St	SSSC	D		А	0.5	N/A		А	0.4	N/A
9	valle vista Ave. altu Napa St.	NB Stop	D		Α	9.9	N/A		В	10.6	N/A
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	Signal	D		В	18.4	0.28		В	15.4	0.37
11	Oregon St. and Preadway St.	SSSC	D		А	6.7	N/A		Α	0.3	N/A
11	Oregon St. and Broadway St.	EB Stop	D		В	14.4	N/A		В	14.0	N/A

Table 3.1 – Existing (2015) Levels of Service Summary

*Note: AM and PM Peak Hour turning movement counts taken by Traffic Works, Thursday, November 19, 2015 SSSC= Side Street Stop Control where side street only has a stop sign. Source: PRISM Engineering and HCM calculation in SynchroPro

⁵ SSSC = Side Street Stop Control, where only one approach of the intersection is stop controlled in a T-intersection. Such is the case in this study at intersections #9 at Napa Street and #11 at Oregon Street where the side street has a stop sign control, and the main street is free-flow uncontrolled traffic. This usually results in high delays for the side street traffic if traffic volumes are high. However, in this study the side street level of service is LOS B or better conditions both in am and pm peak hours.





Source: PRISM Engineering

Traffic signals in the study area are located at all study intersections with the exception of Valle Vista Avenue at Napa Street, and Broadway Street at Oregon Street. These two study locations have one approach with stop sign control as shown in these figures.

Weekday intersection turning movement volumes were collected at project study area intersections on November 19, 2015. Intersection turning movement volumes were collected by video during the AM (7:00 AM to 9:00 AM) and PM (4:00 PM to 6:00 PM) peak periods. Weekday



counts were collected when local schools were in session and outside of holiday periods. Weekday AM and PM peak hour volumes are shown. Traffic volume data sheets are shown in the Appendix.

The study intersection geometry and traffic control shown for existing conditions is shown in Figure 3.5, and would likely remain the same into the future unless specific developments require different traffic control. Current existing levels of service in the study area as shown in Table 3.1 range from LOS A to LOS C conditions for the am peak hour or the pm peak hour. This indicates that traffic is in a free flow and mostly delay-free condition throughout the study area.

3.2. Near Term Future Year 2018 Conditions

Nearby Roadway and Development Projects

According to the City of Vallejo⁶, there are no planned roadway improvements within the study area that are anticipated to be in place prior to or at the same time as the completion of the proposed project in 2018 or beyond.

Approved/Pending Development Projects in Project Vicinity

The City of Vallejo has indicated that there is a new Federal Post Office project planned and construction will be soon underway in the immediate vicinity and adjacent to the charter school project site. Napa Street will be shared by these two developments, the post office on the west and the school on the east side. The City has indicated that the post office traffic impacts need to be included in the background traffic of the traffic analysis. The post office traffic was added together with other background growth calculated from the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) Model growth projections and applied to existing turning movement volumes for both the am and pm peak hours.

The post office site will be bounded by Valle Vista Avenue on the north, Couch Street on the west, and Napa Street on the east as shown in Figure 3.6. Note that in the figure, Napa Street is a north-south collector street, and is the same street that the proposed Charter School will also have access to.

⁶ Per email conversation with David Yatabe, City of Vallejo Consultant Traffic Engineer, January 8, 2015.





Source: City of Vallejo, Federal Government US Postal Service

The post office architectural site plan provided to PRISM Engineering by the City of Vallejo Planning Department includes parking summary parameters about the post office that help to determine peak hour trip generation for the site. The site plan shows a total of 171 parking spaces, with 82 allocated for employees, 37 for customers, and 52 for long life vehicles. The location of the parking spaces helped to determine where traffic would enter and leave the site. The post office will have its public parking lot entry from Napa Street with one-way flow towards Couch Street, and all public traffic will exit to Couch Street.

The square footage of the main building is 16,500 SQFT. This known value of planned building square footage was used to determine the trip generation of the post office project for the am and pm peak hour time periods. Table 3.2 summarizes the trip generation rates and trip totals for the daily, am peak, and pm peak hour time periods, based on the square footage of the proposed building (16.5 KSF).



		ITE		Trip Rate			,	Vehicle Trips	5
Time Period	Land Use	Code	Inbound Outbound		Total	Quantity	Inbound	Outbound	Total
Daily	Post Office	732	54.10	54.10	108.19	16.5 KSF	893	893	1785
AM Peak	Post Office	732	4.28	3.95	8.23	16.5 KSF	71	65	136
PM Peak	Post Office	732	5.72	5.50	11.22	16.5 KSF	94	91	185

Table 3.2 - Post Office Project Trip Generation

Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, and PRISM Engineering

The am peak hour ITE trip generation rate for post office is 8.23 trips/KSF and the pm peak hour rate is 11.22 trips/KSF. This information was used to calculate the amount of traffic that could be expected from the post office onto the adjacent street system. In addition to this post office project schedule to potentially be built and have traffic on the road system in approximately a year, there will also be other background growth in traffic that will increase existing traffic volumes along local streets in the project vicinity. This is due to projects that are in various stages of planning, approval, or development within other parts of the City of Vallejo and surrounding areas. This background growth is calculated from growth rates and applied to existing traffic volumes to represent existing and future projects underway or that are in the foreseeable future.

Calculation of Future Growth for Background Traffic.

PRISM Engineering obtained detailed output plots from the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) staff⁷ from their Travel Demand Forecast Model. The model anticipates future development within the City of Vallejo and remaining areas of Solano County. We obtained model plots for the am and pm peak hour time periods, for the Year 2010 and the Year 2040 projections. We also obtained forecast information for larger city streets, as well as separate plots for freeway and state route roadways. The model output was used as a basis to develop a conservative growth rate to be used in linear interpolation to develop the Year 2035 traffic projection.

The volumes for major roads in the model in the vicinity of Vallejo near to the charter school project site, were summated for the year 2010 and year 2040 STA traffic model projections for the am and pm peak hours. Using the two values as endpoints for a straight-line growth projection, an average growth rate was developed for the project area surface streets as well as the local freeways, as shown in Table 3.3. The worst case between freeway growth and local surface street growth was the surface street growth, and was used for this analysis.

Table 3.3 shows examples of how the growth rates were calculated for the pm peak hour surface streets, as well as some sample STA traffic model outputs for the study area. This same method was used for the am peak hour also, as well as for freeway segments. The results are shown in

⁷ Model plots received from STA's traffic model consultant Srinath Ravulaparthy on 12/14/2015



Table 3.3 for all four of these scenarios. Note that these volumes shown in the summary Figures 3.7 and 3.8 also include the anticipated new post office projections as defined in Table 3.3.

The surface streets in the model had an annual compounded growth rate of 1.15% for the am peak hour in the vicinity of the project site, and 1.00% per year for the pm peak hour time periods. Year 2018 Short Term turning movement volumes were calculated by applying the STA forecast model's calculated am and pm peak hour growth rates for local surface streets to the Year 2015 am and pm turning volumes (Furness adjustment) to reflect three years of background growth.

	Local Surf	ace Streets i	n STA model	Freeway ar	nd State	Rout	es in m	odel
						1	_	
Peak	Vear 2010	Vear 2040	Annual	Vear 2010	Vear 2	040	Ann	ual
Hour	1001 2010		Growth Rate			040	Growtl	n Rate
AM	8,350	11,740	1.15%/year	49,840	54,850		0.35%	/year
РМ	9,125 12,32		1.0%/year	47,900	51,55	50	0.25%	/year
STA PM Tra	affic Model Output	t STA PM Tra	iffic Model Output	Sample calculo	ation:			
3,300		4,50			PM Pea	k Hour		
	1,520 1,816 Res	whood By	1,600 Redwood St	Course No. 11	2010	2040	Growth	
				Sonoma North	3300	4500	1.363636	
	58		78	Tennesee	1030	1280	1.401152	
118		2		Sonoma South	2450	4160	1.697959	
5		88		Redwood	1815	1600	0.881543	
Y	ø	8		total	s 9125	12325	1.35068	avg
	Branchen		S (mapping)			for	30 years	
2,450	670	764	610	1% growth per ye	a 1.0^30=	1.347849	<< <use th="" th<=""><th>is, governs</th></use>	is, governs
Terressee St 1,030		Territestee St 1,280						
a Sit	PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	Florida St	PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes					

Table 3.3 - Calculation of Growth for Background Traffic using STA Traffic Model

Source: STA Year 2010 and 2040 Traffic Model output and Growth Calcs for Vallejo, and PRISM Engineering.







K-8 Traffic Impact Study – DRAFT Report, Caliber Charter School, Vallejo, CA





The Year 2035 volumes (20-year window) were calculated using the same methodology. This equates to a three-year growth factor of 1.0349 applied to existing Year 2015 am peak volumes. For the pm peak hour this factor was 1.0303 applied to existing Year 2015 volumes. This does not include the post office traffic, as the post office traffic was added in separately and in addition to the STA growth volumes. The Year 2018 No Project background volumes at the 11 study intersections are shown in Figure 3.7 for weekday AM peak hour, and Figure 3.8 for weekday PM peak hour conditions.

3.3 Proposed Project and Trip Generation

Proposed Site Uses

The project is a charter school to be located on a four-acre parcel bounded by Napa Street on the immediate west, Valley Vista on the immediate north, Oregon Avenue on the immediate south. The school is planned to have 900 elementary/middle school students in attendance by Year 2018. It was assumed for the purpose of this analysis that the elementary school of 900 students would be in full attendance by the Year 2018.

Project Trip Generation

Trip generation for development projects is typically calculated based on rates contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineer's publication, *Trip Generation 9th Edition⁸*. *Trip Generation* is a standard reference used by jurisdictions throughout the country for the estimation of trip generation potential of proposed developments. Sometimes a custom trip generation rate is used when trip generation data is not available. In these cases, similar developments are identified and surveyed to see what kind of trip generation takes place. Charter School is not s specific ITE Trip Generation Category. PRISM Engineering conducted some research to see what is being used in California and throughout the United States for trip generation for a Charter School. A repeating pattern was that "Charter Schools" generate traffic very similar to a "Private School" and many traffic studies that had done trip generation surveys for charter schools had identified this similarity in traffic volumes. For this reason, PRISM Engineering utilized the ITE Trip Generation Rate for Private Schools in this study to quantify and predict the future traffic for the Caliber Charter School in Vallejo, as explained in more detail in this section.

PRISM Engineering conducted a conservative analysis using typical industry standards, where the worst-case impacts of traffic on the surrounding street network were calculated for the am and pm peak hour time periods. For schools, the am peak hour is typically more critical than the pm peak. In addition, school traffic typically arrives at a school site at or near the starting time, in a period of time much shorter than one hour. This often creates long traffic queues if not properly managed through effective traffic control or onsite vehicle queue storage.

⁸ *Trip Generation, 9th Edition*, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2012.



This project consists of a charter school, and like private and public elementary/middle schools, many if not most of the students will be driven to and from school by a parent or guardian using an automobile. In the case of private schools, a bus system is often not available since it typically involves public funding to have this service. A charter school most closely simulates the traffic and trip generation characteristics of a private school. ITE Trip Generation Rate code 534 was used for the project in this study because it closely matches much of the more recent charter school trip generation studies (typically about 1.0 trip ends per student in am peak hour). The much lower ITE Trip Generation Rate code 520 for elementary public schools is based on older and outdated surveys where a higher percentage of school bus ridership used to take place decades ago, as well as higher percentages of children walking and/or riding bikes to school. This has significantly changed in recent years where significantly higher percentages of parents are opting to drive their children to school, and in many cases, the schools are encouraging it for perceived safety reasons. Specific examples of these differences follow in the paragraphs below.

The ITE Trip Generation Rate (national average) for an Elementary School is 0.45 trips per student in the am peak hour. If an elementary school has 1000 students, this would calculate to 450 trip ends, which represents only 225 cars coming to the school, for 1000 students. A trip is defined in *Trip Generation* as a single or one-directional vehicle movement with either the origin or destination at the project site. In other words, a trip can be either "to" or "from" the site. In addition, a single vehicle visit to a site is counted as two trips (i.e., one to and one from the site).

The ITE Trip Rate also shows that in the am peak hour, on the average 55% of these 450 hypothetical trip ends are inbound arriving vehicles (248 cars inbound), and 45% are outbound (202 cars outbound) during that hour. This means that 248-202=46 cars stayed on site (and presumably parked). These are likely school employees and visitors who will stay and not leave right away. It can be assumed that the remainder (202 cars) are primarily parents/guardians who leave right after dropping of the student(s) in the car. The directionality of 55% inbound vehicles and 45% outbound vehicles is the national average and was used for this study. Since this hypothetical example was for a school with 1,000 students, and there were 202 vehicles were outbound during the am peak hour, it can be assumed that this is the typical number of vehicles used to transport students who were driven.

Vehicle Occupancy. Table 3.4 summarizes potential vehicle occupancy information for the Caliber Charter School. The projected vehicle occupancy of the project charter school is estimated to be 1.28 students/car based on actual numbers of students per household⁹ (assumed to travel together to school in the same vehicle). 61% of the project's charter school households have only one child in the program. This means that the remaining 39% of the households who drive will be carrying two or more students (with siblings). The Caliber Charter School may have as high as 21% of vehicles carrying two or more students (assuming that there is only one car per household that transports students). The remaining 79% of vehicles will be carrying a single

⁹ Enrollment Data provided by Caliber Charter School Administration, Rui Bao, 12/18/2015



student. *Mode Split: Walk, Bike, Car.* National surveys have shown that less than 13% of K-8 students today walk or bike to school,¹⁰ indicating that most students are being driven to school or are riding a school bus or transit. In 1969, 48% of K-8 students walked or rode a bike to school when it was presumably safer to do so (crime lower, traffic congestion lower), and where families lived closer to schools.

	Households	Occupancy No. of No. of		% of						
	in	Rate	Cars in	Students in	Students in					
Category	Category	per Car	Category	Category	Category					
	1			l.						
households with 1 applicant	217	1.0	217	217	61%					
households with 2 applicants	49	2.0	49	98	28%					
households with 3 applicants	6	3.0	6	18	5%					
households with 4 applicants	1	4.0	1	4	1%					
households with 5 applicants	2	5.0	2	10	3%					
households with 6 applicants	1	6.0	1	6	2%					
	276		276	353	100%					
353 students / 276 cars =	1.28	= average number of students per car								

Table 3.4 - Caliber Charter School Vehicle Occupancy Calculation

Source: Caliber Charter School and PRISM Engineering

This vehicle occupancy rate for the charter school closely mirrors the National Household Travel Survey of 2009, which was 1.3 students per car traveling to and from schools. Table 3.5 shows the national average vehicle occupancy statistics which includes school/student traffic.

¹⁰ US DOT / FHA, Center for Urban Transportation Research, Carpooling Without the Car CUTR





Table 3.5 - Average Vehicle Occupancy by Purpose of Travel, NHTS 2009

Source: NHTS 2009

Distance to school. Up through the 1960s, many schools were located in the center of most communities¹¹. On average, 41% of students between kindergarten and 8th grade lived within one mile of school in 1969 (USDOT, 1972) and 88% of students walked or biked to school. In 2009, this has progressively decreased to only 31% of students between kindergarten and 8th grade living within one mile of school, and only 35% walking or riding a bike. This change is due a number of reasons in addition to distance, including traffic congestion, safety, crime, etc.

Today, 69% of students in the USA live more than one mile away from their local school. A similar situation will exist with the Caliber Charter School students, because it is known that approximately 81% will live more than one mile away from the proposed campus (a 12% higher difference in the total). Table 3.6 shows the summary of trip generation for the Caliber Charter School project for the various time periods analyzed in this study. There is currently no specific land use category for charter schools in ITE's Trip Generation Manual, 9th Ed. However, based on several known trip generation surveys for charter schools throughout the United States¹²

ACE Charter School, Mayfair Campus Project, 0.99/student, 2011



¹¹ Source: Safe Routes to School, National Center for Safe Routes to School http://guide.saferoutesinfo.org/introduction/the decline of walking and bicycling.cfm

¹² FDOT Trip Generation Recommendations for charter schools, 0.99 trips/student, 2014

Los Angeles Charter School K-6 and 840 students, 0.93 trips/student, 2015

Boise Village Charter School K-6 and 300 students, 0.91 trips/student 2014

including California and San Jose in particular, the ITE (534) trip rate for "Private Schools" closely matches some of these more recent studies. Since ITE has not summarized these charter school trip generation studies officially yet, this study relies on the reasonable ITE (534) Private School trip rate for K-8 elementary schools in the analysis.

Project Trip Generation

The charter school project's trip generation consists of an elementary school with 900 students. Table 3.6 summarizes the trip generation calculations and totals for (900 K-8 elementary school students) for the daily, am peak hour, and pm peak hour time periods.

		ITE		Trip Rate			,	Vehicle Trips	5
 Time Period	Land Use	Code	Inbound	Outbound	Total	Quantity	Inbound	Outbound	Total
				-		•		-	
Daily	Caliber K-8 Elem School	534	1.38*	1.38	2.76	900 STU	1242	1242	2484
AM Peak	Caliber K-8 Elem School	534	0.50	0.41	0.90	900 STU	446	365	810
PM Peak	Caliber K-8 Elem School	534	0.09	0.10	0.19*	900 STU	80	91	171

 Table 3.6 – Project Trip Generation for K-8 School (Year 2018)

*calculated by linear interpolation using ITE code 536 daily rate for Private School, and ratios between peak hour rates between ITE 534 and 536 Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, and PRISM Engineering

Table 3.6 shows the project trip generation for the short term Year 2018 when the Elementary School portion of the project is expected to develop. In the Year 2018 there will be 446 vehicles inbound to the school site during the am peak hour and 365 outbound vehicles (for school traffic, most of these vehicles inbound are also counting as an outbound vehicle in the totals within the same peak hour time period). The am peak hour is the highest project peak time, and is approximately five (5) times higher than the pm peak hour school traffic, making the pm peak hour insignificant by comparison.

3.4 **Project Trip Distribution and Circulation Alternatives**

Figure 3.9 shows the trip distribution map developed from a geo-coded database of Caliber Charter School participants' household locations.



Tamarac Charter School, Florida, 0.95 trips/student, 2011


Figure 3.9 – Trip Distribution Pattern for Caliber Charter School

The nature of this charter school project is such that the household locations of all future participants is generally known, and so the origin location of all trips in the trip distribution of traffic can be determined at a macro level. This is helpful in developing an accurate trip distribution pattern for all project trips coming from homes to the school campus site, and helps to eliminate vague assumptions about where traffic will come from. There are two arterial streets immediately adjacent to the project site which would naturally carry traffic to the project site and these are primarily Broadway Street and to a lesser extent, Valle Vista Avenue. Because the origin locations of trips are known, it can be determined if school traffic will come in via Broadway Street from north of Oregon Avenue or from the south. The same can be determined for Valle Vista Avenue at Napa Street, to know the percentage of homes that exist to the west, east, south, or north of this intersection.

The source of this map information was Caliber Charter School and PRISM Engineering, where we created a Trip Distribution using GIS Geocoding of Households. This map was subdivided into six macro-zones created by PRISM Engineering that have dividing lines based on the arterial roadways that could reasonably carry traffic to and from the project site. Each macro-zone was tallied to determine the percentage of households in each zone. These percentages are used in this study to assign the appropriate amount of charter school traffic to each study roadway and intersection. This traffic distribution assignment, however, only represents one of the possibilities of where traffic will go, since it is possible to predetermine the charter school incoming paths through a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) plan where parents of students could be directed and instructed on how to travel to the school.



Additional Trip Distribution and Circulation Alternatives Defined

Four alternatives for traffic assignment were studied and considered in this report to possibly better channel and direct traffic (constrain) in order to minimize traffic impacts at difficult-tomitigate intersections such as Broadway Street at Oregon Street. This intersection, for instance, would be difficult to mitigate because if allowed, the charter school traffic would create a traffic pattern that would significantly impact this intersection, requiring a new left turn pocket for the NB approach, removal of parking, changing of striping, and the installation of a new signal since the warrants would be met. This new signal would need to coordinate/interconnect the operation of the existing signal at Broadway and Valle Vista Ave. because of its close proximity. Therefore, this report also examined alternatives that would change traffic patterns and eliminate the NB approach impact by assigning that traffic to come in elsewhere, so certain parents would need to adjust travel paths.

One of these *constrained* alternatives examined directing all school traffic along a specific pathway and providing raised medians and channelization to accomplish mostly right turn movements through intersections immediately surround the project site. The four alternatives examined in this document include:

- Alternative A. Unconstrained scenario where school traffic arrives according to shortest and most convenient pathway from home to school
- Alternative B. Constrained scenario where charter school members are required to enter on Oregon Street from SB Broadway Street. School traffic would not be allowed to enter from Napa Street. However, there are no raised medians to help guide traffic on Napa Street at Valle Vista Ave, and the existing lane striping on Valle Vista remains.
- Alternative C. Constrained scenario as in ALT B above, but with raised medians to allow guidance of all school traffic NB on Napa Street to turn right only onto Valle Vista Ave.
- Alternative D. Constrained scenario as in ALT B and ALT C above, but with a 50% reduced volume for am peak hour charter school traffic because half of the students would arrive for earlier classes of a staggered start time program at the school. This traffic demand management scenario is based on staggered start times at the charter school beginning at 7:30 am, then at 7:45 am, and finally at 8:00 am for various grade groups.

These alternatives are discussed and specifically analyzed later in the report, and levels of service were calculated and summarized. The resulting Alternative A Unconstrained K-8 elementary charter school project traffic turning movements at each of the 11 study intersections are shown for the am peak hour in Figure 3.10, and for the pm peak hour in Figure 3.11. These volumes were added to the background growth and post office traffic to get the full scenario impact volume for analysis purposes.



K-8 Traffic Impact Study – DRAFT Report, Caliber Charter School, Vallejo, CA









The pm peak hour school volumes represent a small fraction of the am peak hour volumes, and as a result are not critical factors in this report's analyses for the pm peak hour. The pm peak hour charter school volumes are approximately 171 vehicles per hour (vph), but in the am peak hour they are 810 vph (see Table 3.6). The pm is therefore approximately 1/5 the volume of the am peak hour project traffic (ratio = 171/810 = 0.21 or 21%). This much smaller pm peak hour school volume has little to no impact to study intersections as shown later in this report.

Table 3.7 summarizes the trip distribution percentages immediately around the project site, to illustrate how traffic will be coming to the site and where they will likely return.

Travel Route Near Project Site	Percent of Total
Broadway Street to/from south of Oregon Avenue	32%
Broadway Street to/from north of Oregon Avenue	20%
Valle Vista Avenue to/from west of Napa Street	41%
Valle Vista Avenue to/from east of Napa Street	7%
TOTAL	100%
Entering at Napa Street	48%
Entering at Oregon Avenue	52%
TOTAL	100%

 Table 3.7 – Trip Distribution Pattern for Caliber Charter School,

 Alternative A Unconstrained

Source: PRISM Engineering using GIS and Household Location Data

With school traffic unconstrained, Napa Street would serve 48% of the project total traffic and Oregon Avenue is estimated at 52% of total. With other scenario alternatives also examined in this report, traffic assignments will follow a more specific incoming route as part of a transportation demand management scenario to be administered by the school to all school participants who drive or are driven to school. Table 3.7 represents the Alternative A Unconstrained trip distribution pattern. Several figures illustrate the modified trip distribution pattern later in this section.

3.5 Proposed Project Lane Geometry

Figure 3.12 shows the charter school geocode generated traffic pattern for project traffic, inbound flows (in black) and outbound flows (in red). The project site is four acres in size and borders directly onto Valle Vista Avenue on the north, Napa Street on the west, and Oregon Avenue on the south. Driveway access to and from the school site will only be taken from Oregon Street and Napa Street as shown on Figure 3.2.

Although there was a driveway on Valle Vista from the previous use at the site, this driveway will be eliminated and no vehicle access will be possible to the site from Valle Vista Avenue between Napa Street and Broadway Street.



Note that this is the Alternative A unconstrained traffic assignment entirely based on shortest path and based on point of origin (since the general location of each future charter school participant's home location is known). It can be seen from the figure that the trip distribution demand into the school site is 52% of the project traffic trying to enter Oregon Street primarily from Broadway Street south. The remaining 48% would likely enter via Napa Street from Valle Vista Avenue from both directions. The proposed Post Office traffic and assignment is also shown on Figure 3.12 for the am peak hour time period. The totals of traffic and turning movements shown on this figure correspond directly to the totals in trip generation Table 3.6 shown previously.



The inbound volumes are higher than the outbound volumes (as shown in Table 3.6 which shows am peak hour project volumes of 446 vph inbound and 365 vph outbound). This is because a certain percentage of incoming traffic will stay (employees) or may have other business at the school. Certain recommendations for traffic control are implied in this distribution of traffic. In order to maintain adequate levels of service on Valle Vista Avenue between Couch Street and Broadway Street, certain restrictions to turning movements along Valle Vista Avenue in the vicinity of the project are necessary. The Post Office and Charter School traffic volumes will be significant, and the Post Office site plan shows outbound traffic entering Napa Street very near



to Valle Vista Avenue. This traffic movement needs some mitigation to minimize conflicts with the school traffic.

Valle Vista and Napa Street Modification

Because the proposed Federal Post Office will have exiting traffic flows right at the intersection of Napa Street and Valle Vista Ave., there would be significant traffic operations impact with the combination of the predicted school traffic flows headed northbound on Napa Street. In order to minimize the traffic impact from the school traffic at this intersection, the raised island and median concepts shown in Figure 3.13 have been developed. All school traffic coming out of the school site is forced to turn right with a raised median and will go north towards Valle Vista Ave. and will not directly conflict with the post office traffic.

The post office traffic cannot be conditioned by the City, and because the public post office traffic would be sent directly to Napa Street from the parking lot, this traffic would need to be directly channelized with its own left/right lane, separated by raised median from the northbound charter school traffic, as shown in Figure 3.13.

Another raised island at the intersection with Valle Vista Ave. is proposed to channelize the school traffic to force a right turn onto Valle Vista and then traffic can disperse to the north or south along Broadway Street. This proposed raised median system minimizes the anticipated traffic conflicts that would otherwise take place between the school and the post office traffic. The school traffic on Napa at Valle Vista would be forced by raised median to turn right only in an exclusive lane which has a stop sign control at the intersection with a pedestrian cross walk.

Afterwards this movement has a free flow EB lane for easy merging onto Valle Vista with little to no delay.





Intersection of Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway Street Modification

The only other intersection that would need some minor modification to make this right turning system for school traffic work properly, would be to modify the eastbound approach of the Valle Vista / Broadway intersection to have an exclusive EB right turn lane, and to eliminate the EB left turn pocket there. This will create two lanes eastbound which extend from Napa Street. The intersection currently operates with the signal phasing that allows the eastbound and westbound approaches to move at the same time. Since the EB approach does not have a specific left turn pocket phase (even though there is a left turn pocket), then the signal operation will not need to change. By adding the right turn lane, the traffic operations will be possible to move the large volume of traffic from the school, primarily anticipated to turn right onto Broadway Street.

These improvements and changes were assumed to be in place when the comparative analyses were being made, to have this common denominator for comparison purposes.



3.6 Alternatives for Charter School Traffic Circulation.

The traffic volumes for the Charter School required mitigation based on a previous traffic study which found significant impacts when school traffic was not coordinated (such as in Alternative A). Three additional alternatives were developed for this study to more closely examine traffic circulation mitigations that would direct charter school traffic flow, as well as staggered start times at the school to spread the impact over a longer period of time. In addition, this study looked at specific ways to guide traffic into the charter school drop off areas as well as how traffic would exit the project site. The following alternatives are explained.

Alternative A.

This is an unconstrained scenario where school traffic arrives according to shortest and most convenient pathway from home to school. The trip distribution pattern developed from the locations of future student's homes was used to assign the traffic to the street system. This assignment represents a worst case scenario that would have the worst impacts. No raised medians or channelization were assumed to be in place for this unconstrained scenario.

Alternative B.

This constrained trip distribution scenario assumed a mandated arrival path was implemented for the charter school. Charter school members would be required to drive a specific pathway to ensure all inbound vehicles to the school enter on Oregon Street from the southbound lane on Broadway Street (to avoid any left turns into Oregon Street from Broadway Street). In addition, school traffic would not be allowed to enter from Napa Street. In this scenario there are no raised medians to help guide traffic on Napa Street or at its intersection with Valle Vista Ave. No improvements are made to Napa at Valle Vista, or at Valle Vista and Broadway.

Alternative C.

This constrained trip distribution scenario is the same travel pattern assumed in Alternative B above, but has additional constraints to local circulation patterns exiting the school and on Napa Street as well as Valle Vista Ave. Raised medians would be installed on Napa Street at the school exit driveway to guide charter school traffic exiting the school driveway to turn right and go north on Napa Street. When school traffic reaches the Valle Vista Ave. intersection it is forced, by raised pork chop shaped island, to turn right only onto Valle Vista Ave. To accommodate this flow, Valle Vista Ave. would be striped as two lanes in the eastbound direction between Napa Street and Broadway Street to allow for a single right turn only lane.

Alternative D.

The constrained trip distribution scenario as in Alternatives B and C above, but with a 50% reduced volume in the analysis for the peak hour charter school traffic (to simulate that only half of the students arrive at the first peak hour time period). This traffic demand management scenario is based on staggered start time mandated program at the charter school with classes beginning at 7:30 am, then at 7:45 am, and finally at 8:00 am for different grade groups.



Alternative B traffic assignment is depicted in Figure 3.14 and shows am peak project traffic entering from Broadway headed south and turning right into Oregon Street.



All traffic existing school site onto Napa Street heads north and turns right on Valle Vista. Traffic is free to conflict with Post Office traffic on Napa Street. This alternative assumes that there are no raised islands or median treatments on Napa at and near Valle Vista Ave. Stop sign control would remain for Napa Street NB approach at Valle Vista Ave. The result for this unsignalized intersection is LOS E with 48.2 seconds of average delay for the NB approach on Napa Street¹³. Valle Vista approaches are uncontrolled (this is a SSSC¹⁴ intersection) and would operate at LOS A conditions with no delay. In addition to LOS E delays, there would also be conflicts with Napa Street NB traffic from the school and the EB Post Office parking lot traffic exiting and trying to turn left to go north, a potential safety issue.

Alternative C traffic assignment is depicted in Figure 3.15 and also shows am peak traffic entering from Broadway headed south and turning right into Oregon Street. Figure 3.16 shows the turning movements for this alternative at each study intersection.

¹⁴ SSSC=Side Street Stop Control



¹³ See Appendix for calculation sheet



All traffic exiting the school site onto Napa Street is required to turn right and go northbound to Valle Vista. A raised median channels this traffic from the charter school exit driveway. All school traffic then must turn right on Valle Vista because of a channelized raised right turn island as defined in Figure 3.13.







The worst case result for the Napa Street approach to Valle Vista Ave. is LOS B for the NBL movement. Since the NBR movement is channelized with its own receiving lane on Valle Vista Ave. the level of service is LOS A. This NBR movement on Napa Street serves the school traffic only. In this scenario the Valle Vista approaches are still uncontrolled (this is still technically an SSSC intersection) and would operate at LOS A conditions with no delay. There would be no conflicts on Napa Street between the NB school traffic and other NB traffic on Napa Street not affiliate with the school. Since the Post Office parking lot entrance is on Napa Street, and it is inbound only, there will be no conflict with any NB traffic on Napa Street.

Alternative D traffic assignment is depicted in Figure 3.17 and also shows am peak project traffic entering from Broadway headed south and turning right into Oregon Street.



The difference in this scenario versus the Alternative C scenario is that the volumes are reduced (estimated at one half of the Alternative C scenario) based on staggered start times for classes at the charter school, a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) benefit which spreads the arrival times of vehicles by an additional 30 minutes. Instead of a typical 20 minute peak arrival of traffic resulting in long lines and queues, this will be stretched to a total of 50 minutes with 50% of this traffic missing the peak hour of adjacent street traffic (at or before 7:45 am). Figure 3.18 shows the project only traffic volumes for Alternative D.







3.7 Analysis of Near-Term (2018) Traffic Level of Service

Traffic operations were evaluated in this section for Near-Term (2018) Traffic Conditions (without project), and for Near-Term (2018) Plus Project Traffic Conditions (for various scenarios of "project"). The baseline Year 2018 traffic projections include the growth from background projects being developed (as calculated with approved growth rates defined earlier in this report), and the projected traffic from the adjacent future Post Office project across the street on Napa Street from the project. Four different Charter School project scenarios for traffic volume / distribution and improvements were considered in this traffic study. These four alternatives are previously defined in Section 3.6 of this report.

Preferred Charter School Traffic Circulation Alternative

Out of all of the four alternatives, there is one alternative that has the best overall reduction in traffic impact, reduced noise and impacts to air quality. This Preferred Alternative is Alternative D which has a 50% reduction in school traffic flow impacts during the peak hour on account of spreading the traffic impact over two peak hour time periods through a staggered start time program at the charter school. Since the am peak hour of adjacent street traffic in the study area was determined by survey to be 7:45 am to 8:45 am, it was possible to determine how much of the school traffic would miss this peak hour time period if there were staggered start times for the school. The schools staggered start times will be 7:30 am, 7:45 am, and 8:00 am. It was assumed by calculation that at least 50% of the total am peak hour traffic of the charter school would arrive before or near to 7:45 am and the remainder would arrive after in the adjacent street peak hour for Alternative D.

A detailed capacity analysis was conducted for each of the four scenarios, for the Year 2018 and the Year 2035, for the am and for the pm peak hour time periods. The capacity analysis was performed using the software SynchroPro which incorporates the Highway Capacity Manual methods as explained in *Section 2.0, Analysis Methodology,* of this report. In the pages that follow, eight tables are prepared summarizing the results of each capacity analysis scenario, grouped by Year 2018 for Alternatives A through D, and by Year 2035 for Alternatives A through D. Supporting turning movement figures for each scenario are also provided.



Year 2018 Capacity Analysis for Alternative A

Table 3.8 shows the results for the Year 2018 baseline and plus Project Alternative A scenarios.

			Tar	I	NEAR	TERM	YEAR	2018			NEA	R TER	RM YE	AR 20	18 plu	is Pro	ject A	LT A
		ònt	get I	AM	Peak H	lour	PM	Peak H	lour		Α	M Pea	ak Hou	ır	Р	M Pea	ak Hou	ır
	INTERSECTION LOCATION	trol	S	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	L	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆V/C	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	21.7	0.43	С	22.0	0.45		С	26.7	0.61	0.18	С	22.6	0.49	0.04
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	29.2	0.31	С	30.8	0.51		С	30.8	0.41	0.10	С	31.4	0.53	0.02
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	30.7	0.36	С	32.6	0.55		С	31.0	0.39	0.03	С	33.0	0.56	0.01
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	27.6	0.38	С	30.1	0.57		С	29.0	0.43	0.05	С	30.4	0.57	0.00
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	27.1	0.41	С	31.2	0.68		С	26.9	0.42	0.01	С	31.2	0.68	0.00
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	S	D	В	14.1	0.23	В	16.0	0.37		В	14.2	0.23	0.00	В	16.0	0.37	0.00
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	23.0	0.54	С	29.8	0.68		С	32.5	0.64	0.10	С	30.3	0.71	0.03
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	S	D	А	7.3	0.14	А	8.9	0.22		Α	7.7	0.19	0.05	А	9.0	0.23	0.01
•	Valle Vista Ave. and Nana St	ST		Α	1.0	N/A	Α	1.1	N/A		Α	2.1	N/A	N/A	Α	1.3	N/A	N/A
9	valle vista Ave. allu Napa St.	NB		В	10.4	N/A	В	11.3	N/A		В	11.2	N/A	N/A	В	10.3	N/A	N/A
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	S	D	В	15.5	0.29	В	17.0	0.40		В	16.6	0.43	0.14	В	17.3	0.44	0.04
11	Orogon St. and Proadway St.	ST		Α	0.3	N/A	Α	0.1	N/A		Α	3.0	N/A	N/A	А	0.6	N/A	N/A
11	Oregon St. and Broduway St.	EΒ	יי	В	10.9	N/A	В	14.7	N/A		D	33.0	N/A	N/A	С	16.4	N/A	N/A

Table 3.8 Near Term Year 2018 plus Project Alternative ALevel of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)

Control: S=Signal, ST=Stop Sign Side Street, NB=NB approach Stop

Notes: ¹ V/C ratio is only shown where needed for significance criteria. Impacts are considered to be significant when the change in V/C ratio between the with and without project conditions are exceeded for the following LOS criteria:

LOS	∆ v/c
С	> 0.04
D	> 0.02
E,F	> 0.01

Figures 3.19 and 3.20 show the am and pm peak hour turning movements of the volumes used in the "Near Term Year 2018 Level of Service Summary, Alternative A Unconstrained Circulation for the Project" scenario reported in Table 3.8.



K-8 Traffic Impact Study – DRAFT Report, Caliber Charter School, Vallejo, CA









Table 3.8 shows that in the "plus project" scenario for the am peak hour, there are four intersections which cross the significance threshold, having an increased V/C ratio (as defined in the footnote of Table 3.8). The delta v/c ratio increased significantly for the Year 2018 am peak hour plus Project Alternative A scenario at the following locations:

- 1. Nebraska and Broadway V/C increased from 0.43 to 0.61, an increase of 0.18.
- 2. Nebraska at Sonoma V/C increased 0.31 to 0.41, an increase of 0.10.
- 3. Valle Vista at Sonoma V/C increased from 0.38 to 0.43, an increase of 0.05.
- 4. Redwood at Broadway V/C increased from 0.54 to 0.64, an increase of 0.10.

Year 2018 Capacity Analysis for Alternative B

Table 3.9 shows that there are four intersections which cross the significance threshold of an increased V/C ratio as defined in the footnote of Table 3.9. The delta v/c ratio at Nebraska and Broadway for instance, increased from 0.43 for Year 2018 am peak hour conditions, to 0.63 for the Year 2018 plus Phase 1 Project scenario, an increase of 0.20 (as indicated in yellow highlighting in the table). Although these four locations had significant change in v/c ratio, the intersection level of service remains at LOS C conditions for all four intersections.

			Tar	I	NEAR	TERM	YEAR	2018			NEA	R TER	rm ye	AR 20	18 plu	ıs Pro	ject A	LT B
		òn	get I	AM	Peak H	lour	PM	Peak H	lour		Α	M Pea	ak Hou	ır	Р	M Pea	ık Hou	r
	INTERSECTION LOCATION	trol	SO	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	Γ	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	21.7	0.43	С	22.0	0.45		С	27.7	0.63	0.20	С	22.7	0.50	0.05
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	29.2	0.31	С	30.8	0.51		С	32.4	0.50	0.19	С	31.8	0.55	0.04
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	30.7	0.36	С	32.6	0.55		С	31.9	0.43	0.07	С	33.5	0.58	0.03
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	27.6	0.38	С	30.1	0.57		С	28.8	0.40	0.02	С	30.3	0.57	0.00
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	27.1	0.41	С	31.2	0.68		С	27.0	0.41	0.00	С	31.2	0.68	0.00
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	S	D	В	15.1	0.26	В	16.0	0.37		В	15.1	0.26	0.00	В	16.0	0.37	0.00
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	23.0	0.54	С	29.8	0.68		С	32.7	0.65	0.11	С	30.3	0.71	0.03
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	S	D	А	7.3	0.14	А	8.9	0.22		А	8.4	0.22	0.08	А	9.1	0.24	0.02
0	Vallo Vista Avo, and Nana St	ST	П	Α	1.0	N/A	Α	1.1	N/A		Α	1.2	N/A	N/A	Α	1.1	N/A	N/A
9	valle vista Ave. allu Napa St.	NB		В	10.4	N/A	В	11.3	N/A		D	26.7	N/A	N/A	В	11.1	N/A	N/A
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	S	D	В	15.5	0.29	В	17.0	0.40		С	28.7	0.66	0.37	В	17.1	0.45	0.05
11	Orogon St. and Broadway St	ST	П	Α	0.3	N/A	Α	0.1	N/A		Α	0.5	N/A	N/A	Α	0.1	N/A	N/A
11	Oregon St. and Broadway St.	EΒ		В	10.9	N/A	В	14.7	N/A		В	16.1	N/A	N/A	В	16.4	N/A	N/A

 Table 3.9
 Near Term Year 2018 plus Project Alternative B

 Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)

Control: S=Signal, ST=Stop Sign Side Street, NB=NB approach Stop

Notes: ¹V/C ratio is only shown where needed for significance criteria. Impacts are considered to be significant when the change in V/C ratio between the with and without project conditions are exceeded for the following LOS criteria:





Year 2018 Capacity Analysis for Alternative C

Table 3.10 shows that there are four intersections which cross the significance threshold of an increased V/C ratio as defined in the footnote of Table 3.10. The delta v/c ratios are indicated in yellow highlighting in the table where a significant impact took place. These locations will be targeted for mitigation measures later in this report. Although these four locations had significant change in v/c ratio, the intersection level of service remains at LOS C conditions for all four intersections indicating that the traffic flows would still be satisfactory without mitigation (less than the LOS D target threshold LOS in the City of Vallejo).

		0	Tar	l	NEAR	TERM	YEAR	2018		NE	AR TER	RM YE	EAR 20)18 plu	us Pro	ject A	LT C
		òn	get I	AM	Peak H	lour	PM	Peak I	lour	4	AM Pea	ak Hou	ır	P	PM Pea	ık Hou	ır
	INTERSECTION LOCATION	trol	SO	LOS	Delay	V/C^1	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	21.7	0.43	С	22.0	0.45	С	27.7	0.63	0.20	С	22.7	0.50	0.05
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	29.2	0.31	С	30.8	0.51	С	32.4	0.50	0.19	С	31.8	0.55	0.04
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	30.7	0.36	С	32.6	0.55	С	31.9	0.43	0.07	С	33.5	0.58	0.03
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	27.6	0.38	С	30.1	0.57	С	28.8	0.40	0.02	С	30.3	0.57	0.00
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	27.1	0.41	С	31.2	0.68	С	27.0	0.41	0.00	С	31.2	0.68	0.00
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	S	D	В	15.1	0.26	В	16.0	0.37	В	15.1	0.26	0.00	В	16.0	0.37	0.00
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	23.0	0.54	С	29.8	0.68	С	32.7	0.65	0.11	С	30.3	0.71	0.03
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	S	D	Α	7.3	0.14	Α	8.9	0.22	А	8.4	0.22	0.08	Α	9.1	0.24	0.02
_	Valle Viete Ave. and Nene St	ST	L	Α	1.0	N/A	Α	1.1	N/A	Α	1.2	N/A	N/A	Α	1.1	N/A	N/A
9	valle vista Ave. and Napa St.	NB	U	В	10.4	N/A	В	11.3	N/A	С	24.9	N/A	N/A	В	10.8	N/A	N/A
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	S	D	В	15.5	0.29	В	17.0	0.40	С	22.7	0.61	0.32	В	16.9	0.44	0.04
11	Orogon St. and Proadway St	ST		А	0.3	N/A	Α	0.1	N/A	Α	0.5	N/A	N/A	А	0.1	N/A	N/A
11	Oregon St. and Broadway St.	EΒ	U	В	10.9	N/A	В	14.7	N/A	В	16.1	N/A	N/A	В	16.4	N/A	N/A

Table 3.10 Near Term Year 2018 plus Project Alternative C Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)

Control: S=Signal, ST=Stop Sign Side Street, NB=NB approach Stop

Notes: ¹ V/C ratio is only shown where needed for significance criteria. Impacts are considered to be significant when the change in V/C ratio between the with and without project conditions are exceeded for the following LOS criteria:

LOS	∆ V/C
С	> 0.04
D	> 0.02
E,F	> 0.01

Figures 3.21 and 3.22 show the am and pm peak hour turning movements of the volumes used in the Year 2018 Level of Service Summary, Alternative B or C scenarios reported in Tables 3.9 and 3.10, respectively.



K-8 Traffic Impact Study – DRAFT Report, Caliber Charter School, Vallejo, CA









Year 2018 Capacity Analysis for Alternative D

Table 3.11 shows that there are only three intersections which cross the significance threshold of an increased V/C ratio as defined in the footnote of Table 3.11. The delta v/c ratios are indicated in yellow highlighting in the table where a significant impact took place. These locations will be targeted for possible mitigation measures later in this report. Although these three locations had significant change in v/c ratio, the intersection level of service remains at LOS C conditions for all four intersections indicating that the traffic flows would still be satisfactory without mitigation (less than the LOS D target threshold LOS in the City of Vallejo).

			Tar		NEAR	TERM	YEAR	2018			NEA	R TEF	RM YE	AR 20	18 plu	ıs Pro	ject A	LT D
		Ont	get I	AM	Peak H	lour	PM	Peak H	lour		Α	M Pea	ak Hou	ır	P	M Pea	ak Hou	r
	INTERSECTION LOCATION	fol	So	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	ľ	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c
		_								Γ								
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	21.7	0.43	С	22.0	0.45	I	С	24.1	0.53	0.10	С	22.5	0.49	0.04
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	29.2	0.31	С	30.8	0.51	L	С	30.4	0.39	0.08	С	32.0	0.54	0.03
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	30.7	0.36	С	32.6	0.55		С	31.3	0.40	0.04	С	33.6	0.57	0.02
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	27.6	0.38	С	30.1	0.57		С	28.0	0.40	0.02	С	31.0	0.58	0.01
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	27.1	0.41	С	31.2	0.68	I	С	27.3	0.42	0.01	С	31.8	0.69	0.01
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	S	D	В	15.1	0.26	В	16.0	0.37		В	15.1	0.26	0.00	В	16.0	0.37	0.00
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	23.0	0.54	С	29.8	0.68		С	25.5	0.61	0.07	С	30.5	0.71	0.03
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	S	D	А	7.3	0.14	А	8.9	0.22		А	7.6	0.18	0.04	А	9.0	0.23	0.01
	Valle Viste Ave. and Nana St	ST		Α	1.0	N/A	Α	1.1	N/A		Α	1.1	N/A	N/A	Α	1.1	N/A	N/A
9	valle vista Ave. allu Napa St.	NB	U	В	10.4	N/A	В	11.3	N/A		В	12.1	N/A	N/A	В	10.5	N/A	N/A
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	S	D	В	15.5	0.29	В	17.0	0.40		В	15.6	0.35	0.06	В	17.1	0.43	0.03
11	Orogon St. and Broadway St	ST	П	А	0.3	N/A	Α	0.1	N/A		Α	0.4	N/A	N/A	А	0.1	N/A	N/A
11	Oregon St. and Broduway St.	EΒ		В	10.9	N/A	В	14.7	N/A		В	13.0	N/A	N/A	В	15.8	N/A	N/A

Table 3.11Near Term Year 2018 plus Project Alternative DLevel of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)

Control: S=Signal, ST=Stop Sign Side Street, NB=NB approach Stop

Notes: ¹ V/C ratio is only shown where needed for significance criteria.

Impacts are considered to be significant when the change in V/C ratio between the with and without project conditions are exceeded for the following LOS criteria:

LOS	∆ V/C
С	> 0.04
D	> 0.02
E,F	> 0.01

Figures 3.23 and 3.24 show the am and pm peak hour turning movements of the volumes used in the Year 2018 Level of Service Summary for Alternative D as reported in Table 3.11.







K-8 Traffic Impact Study – DRAFT Report, Caliber Charter School, Vallejo, CA





3.8 LONG-TERM (2035) CONDITIONS

Long-Term (2035) Lane Configurations and Traffic Control

Transportation improvements are not anticipated by City staff for the study area intersections for the year 2035. All of the study intersections remain the same as compared to existing conditions, as shown in Figure 3.1.

Year 2035 Forecast

Future (Year 2035) AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes are based on future year traffic forecasts obtained from the STA Travel Demand Forecast model (we obtained Year 2010 and Year 2040 output). PRISM Engineering used the methodology outlined previously in this report to determine Year 2035 volumes, namely, linear interpolation of growth between the two scenarios in the STA model to determine a growth rate, and then applying this growth rate to the existing turning movement volumes.



Year 2035 Capacity Analysis for Alternative A

Table 3.12 shows that there are four intersections which cross the significance threshold of an increased V/C ratio as defined in the footnote of Table 3.12. The delta v/c ratios are indicated in yellow highlighting in the table where a significant impact took place. These locations will be targeted for possible mitigation measures later in this report. Two locations are at LOS C conditions (Nebraska at Broadway and Sonoma) and two other locations are at LOS D conditions with significant increases to V/C ratio (Valle Vista at Sonoma and Redwood at Broadway).

		0	Tar	L	.ONG	TERM	YEAR	2035		LON	IG TEF	rm ye	AR 20	35 plu	is Pro	ject A	LT A
		Cont	get I	AM	Peak H	lour	PM	Peak H	lour	A	M Pea	ak Hou	ır	F	PM Pea	ak Hou	ır
	INTERSECTION LOCATION	trol	S0	LOS	Delay	V/C^1	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	23.5	0.53	С	23.7	0.54	С	31.7	0.70	0.17	С	24.5	0.58	0.04
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	32.8	0.37	D	43.3	0.61	D	36.7	0.48	0.11	D	45.3	0.63	0.02
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	33.0	0.44	D	40.8	0.65	С	33.9	0.48	0.04	D	42.2	0.66	0.01
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	28.8	0.46	D	37.7	0.68	С	30.6	0.51	0.05	D	38.0	0.68	0.00
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	31.2	0.51	D	40.3	0.81	С	31.0	0.52	0.01	D	40.4	0.81	0.00
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	S	D	В	15.9	0.32	В	17.6	0.49	В	15.9	0.32	0.00	В	17.6	0.49	0.00
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	25.9	0.66	D	36.9	0.81	D	36.8	0.77	0.11	D	37.6	0.83	0.02
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	S	D	А	7.9	0.17	А	9.3	0.26	В	8.6	0.22	0.05	А	9.5	0.27	0.01
0	Valle Vista Ave. and Nana St	ST	D	Α	0.9	N/A	Α	1.0	N/A	Α	2.0	N/A	N/A	Α	1.2	N/A	N/A
9	valle vista Ave. allu Napa St.	NB		В	10.8	N/A	В	12.0	N/A	В	11.5	N/A	N/A	В	10.8	N/A	N/A
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	S	D	В	16.2	0.35	В	18.4	0.48	В	17.4	0.48	0.13	В	18.7	0.51	0.03
11	Oregon St. and Preadway St.	ST		А	0.4	N/A	А	0.1	N/A	A	2.8	N/A	N/A	А	0.6	N/A	N/A
11	Oregon St. and Broadway St.	EΒ	U	В	11.4	N/A	В	17.4	N/A	Ε	47.8	N/A	N/A	С	20.8	N/A	N/A

 Table 3.12 Long Term Year 2035 plus Project Alternative A

 Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)

Control: S=Signal, ST=Stop Sign Side Street, NB=NB approach Stop

Notes: ¹V/C ratio is only shown where needed for significance criteria. Impacts are considered to be significant when the change in V/C ratio between the with and without project conditions are exceeded for the following LOS criteria:

∆ V/C
> 0.04
> 0.02
> 0.01

Figures 3.25 and 3.26 show the am and pm peak hour Year 2035 turning movements at the 11 study intersections for the no project condition. Figures 3.27 and 3.28 show the am and pm peak hour turning movements of the volumes used in the Year 2035 plus Project Alternative D as reported in Table 3.12.













Year 2035 Capacity Analysis for Alternative B

Table 3.13 shows that there are four intersections which cross the significance threshold of an increased V/C ratio as defined in the footnote of Table 3.13. The delta v/c ratios are indicated in yellow highlighting in the table where a significant impact took place. These locations will be targeted for possible mitigation measures later in this report. Two locations are at LOS C conditions (Nebraska at Broadway and Sonoma) and two other locations are at LOS D conditions with significant increases to V/C ratio (Valle Vista at Sonoma and Redwood at Broadway).

		0	Tar	L	.ONG	TERM	YEAR	2035		L	ON	IG TEP	N YE	AR 20	35 plu	ıs Pro	ject A	LT B
		Cont	get I	AM	Peak H	lour	PM	Peak H	lour		Α	M Pea	ak Hou	ır	Р	'M Pea	ık Hou	r
	INTERSECTION LOCATION	irol	S.	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	LOS	Delay	V/C^1	LC	os	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c	LOS	Delay	V/C^1	∆v/c
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	23.5	0.53	С	23.7	0.54		С	33.0	0.72	0.19	С	24.7	0.59	0.05
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	32.8	0.37	D	43.3	0.61	1	D	39.0	0.57	0.20	D	45.9	0.65	0.04
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	33.0	0.44	D	40.8	0.65	1	D	35.7	0.51	0.07	D	44.3	0.67	0.02
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	28.8	0.46	D	37.7	0.68	(0	30.2	0.49	0.03	D	38.0	0.68	0.00
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	31.2	0.51	D	40.3	0.81	(C	31.1	0.51	0.00	D	40.3	0.81	0.00
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	S	D	В	15.9	0.32	В	17.6	0.49	1	3	15.9	0.32	0.00	В	17.6	0.49	0.00
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	25.9	0.66	D	36.9	0.81	1	D	37.0	0.77	0.11	D	37.6	0.83	0.02
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	S	D	А	7.9	0.17	А	9.3	0.26		4	9.8	0.25	0.08	А	9.6	0.28	0.02
•	Valle Vista Ave. and Nana St	ST		Α	0.9	N/A	Α	1.0	N/A	4	4	1.1	N/A	N/A	Α	1.0	N/A	N/A
9	Valle Vista Ave. and Napa St.	NB		В	10.8	N/A	В	12.0	N/A	Ĺ	2	30.0	N/A	N/A	В	11.6	N/A	N/A
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	S	D	В	16.2	0.35	В	18.4	0.48		D	35.5	0.74	0.33	В	18.5	0.53	0.03
11	Oregon St. and Preadway St.	ST		А	0.4	N/A	А	0.1	N/A		4	0.6	N/A	N/A	А	0.2	N/A	N/A
11	Oregon St. and Broadway St.	EΒ		В	11.4	N/A	В	17.4	N/A	0	2	17.8	N/A	N/A	В	19.7	N/A	N/A

Table 3.13 Long Term Year 2035 plus Project Alternative BLevel of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)

Control: S=Signal, ST=Stop Sign Side Street, NB=NB approach Stop

Notes: ¹ V/C ratio is only shown where needed for significance criteria. Impacts are considered to be significant when the change in V/C ratio between the with and without project conditions are exceeded for the following LOS criteria:

LOS	∆ v/c
С	> 0.04
D	> 0.02
E,F	> 0.01

Figures 3.29 and 3.30 show the am and pm peak hour Year 2035 turning movements at the 11 study intersections for the plus Project Alternative B and C scenarios as reported in Tables 3.13 and 3.14.



Year 2035 Capacity Analysis for Alternative C

Table 3.14 shows that there are four intersections which cross the significance threshold of an increased V/C ratio as defined in the footnote of Table 3.14. The delta v/c ratios are indicated in yellow highlighting in the table where a significant impact took place. These locations will be targeted for possible mitigation measures later in this report. Two locations are at LOS C conditions (Nebraska at Broadway and Sonoma) and two other locations are at LOS D conditions with significant increases to V/C ratio (Valle Vista at Sonoma and Redwood at Broadway).

		0	Tar	LONG TERM			YEAR	2035			LONG TERM YEAR 2035 plus Project ALT						LT C	
		ìont	get l	AM	Peak Hour		PM Peak Hour				AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour				
	INTERSECTION LOCATION	irol	SO	LOS	Delay	V/C^1	LOS	Delay	V/C^1	Γι	.OS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	23.5	0.53	С	23.7	0.54		С	33.0	0.72	0.19	С	24.7	0.59	0.05
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	32.8	0.37	D	43.3	0.61		D	39.0	0.57	0.20	D	45.9	0.65	0.04
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	33.0	0.44	D	40.8	0.65		D	35.7	0.51	0.07	D	44.3	0.67	0.02
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	28.8	0.46	D	37.7	0.68		С	30.2	0.49	0.03	D	38.0	0.68	0.00
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	31.2	0.51	D	40.3	0.81		С	31.1	0.51	0.00	D	40.3	0.81	0.00
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	S	D	В	15.9	0.32	В	17.6	0.49		В	15.9	0.32	0.00	В	17.6	0.49	0.00
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	25.9	0.66	D	36.9	0.81		D	37.0	0.77	0.11	D	37.6	0.83	0.02
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	S	D	А	7.9	0.17	А	9.3	0.26		Α	9.8	0.25	0.08	А	9.6	0.28	0.02
0	Valle Vista Ave. and Nana St	ST	П	Α	0.9	N/A	Α	1.0	N/A		A	1.0	N/A	N/A	Α	1.0	N/A	N/A
9	Valle Vista Ave. and Napa St.	NB		В	10.8	N/A	В	12.0	N/A		С	21.0	N/A	N/A	В	11.2	N/A	N/A
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	S	D	В	16.2	0.35	В	18.4	0.48		С	25.2	0.68	0.33	В	18.3	0.51	0.03
11	Oregon St. and Breadway St	ST		А	0.4	N/A	А	0.1	N/A		Α	0.3	N/A	N/A	А	0.2	N/A	N/A
	Oregon St. and Broadway St.	EΒ	U	В	11.4	N/A	В	17.4	N/A		С	19.0	N/A	N/A	В	19.7	N/A	N/A

Table 3.14 Long Term Year 2035 plus Project Alternative CLevel of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)

Control: S=Signal, ST=Stop Sign Side Street, NB=NB approach Stop

Notes: ¹V/C ratio is only shown where needed for significance criteria. Impacts are considered to be significant when the change in V/C ratio between the with and without project conditions are exceeded for the following LOS criteria:

LOS	∆ V/C
С	> 0.04
D	> 0.02
E,F	> 0.01







Year 2035 Capacity Analysis for Alternative D

Table 3.15 shows that there are four intersections which cross the significance threshold of an increased V/C ratio as defined in the footnote of Table 3.15. The delta v/c ratios are indicated in yellow highlighting in the table where a significant impact took place. These locations will be targeted for possible mitigation measures later in this report. Two locations are at LOS C conditions (Nebraska at Broadway and Sonoma) and two other locations are at LOS D conditions with significant increases to V/C ratio (Valle Vista at Sonoma and Redwood at Broadway).

		Tar		LONG TERM YEAR 2035							LONG TERM YEAR 2035 plus Project ALT D							
		òn	get	AM	Peak H	lour	PM Peak Hour				AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
	INTERSECTION LOCATION	trol	S	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	LOS	Delay	V/C^1	I	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c	LOS	Delay	V/C ¹	∆v/c
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	23.5	0.53	С	23.7	0.54	I	С	26.6	0.62	0.09	С	24.2	0.57	0.03
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	32.8	0.37	D	43.3	0.61		С	34.7	0.46	0.09	D	44.5	0.63	0.02
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	33.0	0.44	D	40.8	0.65		С	34.0	0.48	0.04	D	42.3	0.66	0.01
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	28.8	0.46	D	37.7	0.68		С	29.3	0.47	0.01	D	37.8	0.68	0.00
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	S	D	С	31.2	0.51	D	40.3	0.81		С	31.2	0.51	0.00	D	40.3	0.81	0.00
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	S	D	В	15.9	0.32	В	17.6	0.49		В	15.9	0.32	0.00	В	17.6	0.49	0.00
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	S	D	С	25.9	0.66	D	36.9	0.81		С	28.7	0.72	0.06	D	37.2	0.82	0.01
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	S	D	А	7.9	0.17	А	9.3	0.26		А	8.5	0.20	0.03	А	9.4	0.27	0.01
0	Valle Vista Ave. and Nana St	ST	П	Α	0.9	N/A	Α	1.0	N/A		Α	1.0	N/A	N/A	Α	1.0	N/A	N/A
9	valle vista Ave. allu Napa St.	NB		В	10.8	N/A	В	12.0	N/A		В	12.4	N/A	N/A	В	10.8	N/A	N/A
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	S	D	В	16.2	0.35	В	18.4	0.48	IL	В	16.3	0.40	0.05	В	18.3	0.50	0.02
11	Orogon St. and Broadway St	ST		А	0.4	N/A	А	0.1	N/A	IL	А	0.5	N/A	N/A	А	0.1	N/A	N/A
11	Oregon St. and Broadway St.	EΒ	U	В	11.4	N/A	В	17.4	N/A		В	13.9	N/A	N/A	В	18.4	N/A	N/A

Table 3.15 Long Term Year 2035 plus Project Alternative D Level of Service Summary, (includes growth AND Post Office traffic)

Control: S=Signal, ST=Stop Sign Side Street, NB=NB approach Stop

Notes: ¹V/C ratio is only shown where needed for significance criteria. Impacts are considered to be significant when the change in V/C ratio between the with and without project conditions are exceeded for the following LOS criteria:

LOS	∆ v/c
С	> 0.04
D	> 0.02
E,F	> 0.01

Figures 3.31 and 3.32 show the am and pm peak hour Year 2035 turning movements at the 11 study intersections for the plus Project Alternative D scenario as reported in Table 3.15. All intersections remained at LOS D or better conditions¹⁵ with this Year 2035 am and pm peak hour scenarios. Mitigation measures must be identified for intersections that show a significant project impact (significant change in V/C ratio), and operate at LOS D or worse under Future Build-out Year Cumulative Base plus Project Traffic Condition, or Project Opening Year (Near – Term) Base plus Other Projects plus Project Traffic Condition.

¹⁵ As measured by HCM methodology for average delay








3.9 NEEDED INTERSECTION MITIGATIONS

A total of four (4) intersections met at least one of the City's criteria for mitigation under the Alternative D Project condition (Preferred Alternative). Level of Service, change in V/C ratio, or Queue length problems factor into this conclusion. These intersections included the following:

Int. #1: Nebraska St. at Broadway St., change in LOS C V/C ratio = 0.09 for am peak hour Int. #2: Nebraska St. at Sonoma Blvd., change in LOS C V/C ratio = 0.09 for am peak hour Int. #7: Redwood St. at Broadway St., change in LOS C V/C ratio = 0.06 for am peak hour Int. #10: Valle Vista Ave. at Broadway St., Queue Length overruns for EBL in am peak hour

Int. #1: Nebraska St. at Broadway St., Mitigation

Problem: Westbound approach is at LOS E and needs additional capacity. WB right turn is heavy at 155 vph. Overall intersection LOS is D with 50.6 seconds of average delay.

Mitigation: Add WB right turn pocket. Restripe centerline to accommodate shift. Some minor loss of parking near intersection on WB approach.



Figure 3.33 – Mitigation for Nebraska St. at Broadway St.

Result: Satisfactory LOS C condition with 24.9 seconds of average delay.

Int. #2: Nebraska St. at Sonoma Blvd., Mitigation

Problem: Westbound approach is at LOS D. WB right turn is heavy at 316 vph and needs additional capacity. Overall intersection LOS is D with 42.2 seconds of average delay.

Mitigation: Add WB right turn pocket. Restripe centerline to accommodate shift. Some minor loss of parking near intersection on WB approach. Change signal timing from split phase for E-W approaches to a permissive phasing. Shorten signal cycle accordingly as capacity is increased.





Figure 3.34 – Mitigation for Nebraska St. at Sonoma Blvd. Result: Satisfactory LOS B condition with 17.5 seconds of average delay.

Int. #7: Redwood St. at Broadway St., Mitigation

Problem: Westbound approach left turn is at LOS F with the project (90.3 secs avg. delay for WBL and 37.7 for the entire approach). Also, the change in V/C ratio for this location even under Preferred Alternative D was 0.06 (see Table 3.15), exceeding the threshold of 0.04, requiring mitigation because of the project. The overall unmitigated level of service was LOS C and 28.7 seconds of average delay.



Figure 3.35 – Existing Lanes at Redwood St. and Broadway/Alameda St.

The WB approach needs additional capacity. In addition, there is a closely spaced intersection just to the west of Broadway Street at Alameda Street which is only 200 feet away (curb to curb), and because of the railroad tracks, only has 70 feet of storage back of the stop line. This short distance is insufficient to move 130 cars in Alternative D



through the WB left turn pocket in the am peak hour. Generally, one foot of left turn pocket storage is needed for each vehicle in the peak hour.

Mitigation: Install additional WB left turn pocket so that WBL becomes a dual left turn pocket. The effective length of this WBL dual pocket is over 150 feet in length in the outside lane because the median will be moved. Move median 12 feet south from centerline to accommodate the additional WB approach lane, resulting in room for only one eastbound lane. This change does not create an adverse impact for the EB approach at the intersection. The EB approach would need to be configured with only one through lane to correspond with this change. The EB through movement is already aligned with the single remaining EB receiving lane on Redwood St. The EB approach will be comprised of one left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn pocket as shown in Figure 3.36. Advance warning signage with MUTCD "through traffic merge left" for EB approach. Also overhead regulatory signage for EB approach should be used to guide traffic and prevent vehicles from right turn lane advancing forward.



Figure 3.36 – Mitigation for Redwood St. at Broadway St.

Result: Satisfactory LOS B condition with 16.2 seconds of average delay overall, and the EB Through lane will not be impacted resulting in LOS B with 13.1 seconds of average delay.

Int. #10: Valle Vista Ave. at Broadway St., Mitigation

Problem: Eastbound approach is at LOS F and 207 seconds of average delay for the EB approach under Alternative C, but improves to LOS C and 26 seconds of average delay for the EB approach under the Preferred Alternative D. Both of these results are assuming there is no mitigated right turn pocket lane for the EB approach. The intersection overall has 88.5 seconds of average delay (LOS F) for Alternative C because of the heavy EBR movement (652 vph), and improves to 16.3 seconds of average delay with Alternative D with the reduced EBR movement of 356 vph. The EBR turn pocket mitigation



(implemented by striping) is needed to facilitate the orderly movement of the charter school traffic, and to provide more storage for the EBL and EBT movement.



Figure 3.37 – Existing Lanes for Valle Vista Ave. at Broadway St.

Mitigation: Add the EBR exclusive lane by restriping Valle Vista Ave in the eastbound direction to allow for two lanes, a thru/left and a right turn pocket lane. This restriping is needed for any of the alternatives because of the volume of traffic that will be turning left and going straight (over 150 vph) as there is not sufficient storage in the existing lane striping to accommodate this future Year 2035 traffic projection. The left turn pocket will be eliminated altogether and the left and through movements will be combined into one EBL/EBT lane.



Result: Satisfactory LOS B condition with 16.3 seconds of average delay (ALT D).

Figure 3.38 – Mitigation Lanes for Valle Vista Ave. at Broadway St.



4.0 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Potential Effects on Transit, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Mobility

The project was evaluated to determine if it would likely conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks) or generate pedestrian, bicycle, or transit travel demand that would not be accommodated by transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities and plans.

Students or parents of students have the option of driving, taking transit, walking or bicycling to and from the proposed charter school location. For those taking transit, they can reach the site via SolTrans Routes 1 which passes along Valle Vista Avenue directly alongside the northern boundary of the school site (there is a bus stop there). Valle Vista Ave. has an existing sidewalk along Valle Vista Avenue along the project site frontage from the bus stop, and connecting westerly to Napa Street. Valle Vista Avenue in the vicinity of the project site is designated as a Class III Bike Route, and as such a cyclist must share the lane with an automobile as there are no striped bike lanes present. Sidewalks for pedestrians exist on both sides of nearly all study area streets, including Valle Vista. The project does not conflict with these systems.

Queues at Study Intersections

The traffic analysis worksheets from SynchroPro using the HCM 2000 methodology were reviewed to determine if any significant impacts to queues took place for any scenario, or if through lanes were blocked in any way. This is based on an examination of the 95th percentile queue for all study intersections. The 95th percentile queue length represents a condition where 95 percent of the time the queue reported would take place, and this queue length is compared to the storage length to see if say, a left turn pocket length is exceeded. If the queues exceed turn pocket length, this condition can create potentially hazardous situations by blocking or disrupting through traffic in adjacent travel lanes in the same direction of travel. A queuing impact is considered to occur when the queue in a left turn pocket extends 25 feet or more beyond the turn pocket length under pre-project conditions, a project impact would occur if project traffic lengthens the queue by 25 feet or more. Table 4.1 is a summary of the worst case am peak hour queues at the study intersections for the Year 2018, with and without the preferred project Alternative D. Table 4.2 summarizes the Year 2035 scenarios.



		AM Peak Hour				AM Peak Hour				
		Year 2018				Year 2018+Proj ALT D				
INTERSECTION LOCATION		Over?	Where	Pocket	Queue	Over?	Where	Pocket	Queue	
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	yes	SBL	80	115	yes	SBL	80	174	
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	no				no				
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	yes	WBL	75	109	yes	WBL	75	112	
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	yes	EBL	60	80	yes	EBL	60	80	
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	no				no				
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	no				no				
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	yes	WBL	60	77	yes	WBL	60	140	
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	no				no				
9	Valle Vista Ave. and Napa St.	no				no				
		no				no				
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	no				no				
11	Oregon St. and Broadway St.	no				no				
		no				no				

 Table 4.1 Year 2018 Queue Analysis Summary for 95th Percentile

Source: SynchroPro 9 and PRISM Engineering

Table 4.2	Year 2035	Queue	Analysis	Summary	fc	or 95 th Percentile

		AM Peak Hour				AM Peak Hour				
		Year 2035				Year 2035+Proj ALT D				
INTERSECTION LOCATION		Over?	Where	Pocket	Queue	Over?	Where	Pocket	Queue	
1	Nebraska St. and Broadway St.	yes	SBL	80	138	yes	SBL	80	206	
2	Nebraska St. and Sonoma Blvd.	no				no				
3	Couch St. and Sonoma Blvd.	yes	WBL	75	130	yes	WBL	75	130	
4	Valle Vista St. and Sonoma Blvd.	yes	EBL	60	100	yes	EBL	60	100	
5	Redwood St. and Sonoma Blvd.	no				no				
6	Redwood St. and Couch St.	no				no				
7	Redwood St. and Broadway St.	yes	WBL	60	96	yes	WBL	60	154	
8	Valle Vista Ave. and Couch St.	no				no				
9	Valle Vista Ave. and Napa St.	no				no				
		no				no				
10	Valle Vista Ave. and Broadway St.	no				no				
11	Oregon St. and Broadway St.	no				no				
		no				no				

Source: SynchroPro 9 and PRISM Engineering

Queue Problems and Mitigations.

It can be seen from Tables 4.1 and 4.2 that there are some queue problems projected to take place in both the Year 2018 and Year 2035 scenarios, even without the project. When the project



traffic is added in the queue length would further increase at three of the four locations as shown comparatively side by side in Tables 4.1 and 4.2.

Queue Problems at Intersection #1: Broadway Street at Nebraska Street. The Year 2018 without project scenario at the intersection of Broadway Street at Nebraska Street for the southbound left turn pocket (SBL) is expected to have a queue 115 feet in length, exceeding the existing striped pocket of only 80 feet in length. The Alternative D project traffic would increase the queue to 174 feet. Broadway Street is wide enough to allow for the lengthening of this left turn pocket to be longer through a restriping of lane lines. Since the ultimate Year 2035 volume would expect to see a queue length of 206 feet at this same left turn pocket (see Table 4.2), it is recommended to install a 210 foot left turn pocket at this location, which can be accomplished through restriping of the lanes. This would mean extending the left turn pocket nearly back to Texas Street since the curb-to-curb width of Broadway Street at that intersections is the same as it is at Nebraska Street (60 feet). This means that there is room to accomplish this striping change without widening of the street. Centerline striping would need to be adjusted on Broadway Street just north of Texas Street to accommodate the transition of through lanes to their new location south of Texas Street (shifted 12 feet).

MITIGATION of QUEUE: Install a 210-foot southbound left turn pocket at this location between Texas and Nebraska Streets. It is needed even without the project, but is aggravated by the project if not mitigated. This installation can be accomplished through restriping of the lanes since there is room for a five lane cross-section here. This would mean extending the left turn pocket nearly all the way back to Texas Street since the curbto-curb width of Broadway Street is the same there as it is at Nebraska Street (60 feet, or five 12 foot lanes). This means that there is room to accomplish this striping change without widening of the street. Centerline striping will also need to be adjusted on Broadway Street just north of Texas Street to accommodate the transition of through lanes to their new location south of Texas Street.

Queue Problems at Intersection #3: Couch Street at Sonoma Boulevard. The Year 2018 without project scenario at the intersection of Couch Street at Sonoma Boulevard for the westbound left turn pocket (WBL) is expected to have a queue 109 feet in length, exceeding the existing striped pocket of only 75 feet in length. In the Year 2035 this queue without the project would increase to 130 feet. When the Alternative D project traffic is added to the Year 2018 a slight increase from 109 to 112 is projected, an insignificant amount. In the Year 2035 scenario, the Alternative D project traffic does not further increase the queue of 130, but remains at 130. This location shows a queue problem at the WBL movement which has a 75-foot pocket, and an additional left turn storage lane extending north from Mississippi Street. Because of this, the WBL pocket being broken into two parts, it effectively has over 150 in length/storage. No mitigations are recommended here since the Year 2035 queue length (130 feet) can be handled by the two existing left turn storage areas (one of which serves left turns into Mississippi Street).

MITIGATION of QUEUE: None recommended.



<u>Queue Problems at Intersection #4</u>: Valle Vista Avenue at Sonoma Boulevard. This location has a projected overage of 30 feet beyond the 60 foot striped left turn EBL pocket. However, this left turn pocket does not have a beginning taper and merely extends into the existing two-way left turn lane. Vehicles are already using this ability to extend the left turn pocket to essentially lengthen the left turn pocket beyond 60 feet.

MITIGATION of QUEUE: It is recommended that the EBL left turn pocket be restriped to a 110-foot distance to accommodate future volumes. No widening is necessary, as the left turn pocket can extend into the available two-way left turn lane.

Queue Problems at Intersection #7: Redwood Street at Broadway Street. This location will have an overage on the WBL movement, a movement that does serve the project traffic to some degree. It also will have a queue overage even without the project by about two vehicles in length in the Year 2035. When the Alternative D project traffic is added in, there will be 3 more cars that will not fit in the WBL left turn pocket (a total overage of 5 cars). The left turn bay needs additional storage to be able to accommodate Year 2035 traffic projections. There is a traffic operations problem that would conflict with making any changes to this left turn pocket because of the close proximity of the intersection of Redwood and Alameda Street which is only 150 feet away from the stop bar at the rail road tracks along Broadway Street. These constraints require a more creative solution than extending the current length of the WBL turn pocket, which cannot be lengthened any further. An additional lane is needed.

There are at least two possible solutions for this situation:

- 1. Close Alameda Street. Make Alameda Street right in and right out only by installing a median that extends on Redwood Street easterly through the entire intersection, and then this longer left turn pocket can be accommodated with existing striping and intersection configuration at Redwood and Broadway.
- 2. Better solution: Modify the EB approach of Redwood Street at Broadway to change two through lanes to one through lane and one right turn only lane. Move the raised median on Redwood Street between Broadway Street and Alameda Street to the south a distance of 12 feet to make room for an additional left turn pocket lane extending back about 140 feet to the Alameda Street intersection. Current left turn pocket length is 60 feet. This would provide an additional 140 feet of storage for a total of 200 feet of vehicle storage.

The second solution is recommended because it will allow full inbound and outbound access now in play at the Alameda Street intersection to continue without disruption. It also allows for a dual left turn pocket. The first solution is the easiest engineering solution and has the benefit to traffic operations by eliminating the closely spaced intersection between Alameda and Broadway Streets (only 150 feet apart). However, closing long standing access is highly controversial and will be unlikely to achieve with local resident's opposition.



MITIGATION of QUEUE:

- Modify the EB approach of Redwood Street at Broadway to change two through lanes to one through lane and one right turn only lane.
- Move the raised median on Redwood Street east of Broadway Street to the south 12 feet to make room for a dual left turn pocket additional lane
- Extend left turn pocket back about 140 feet to the Alameda Street intersection.
- Accommodate EBL left turn 50-foot pocket into Alameda Street on reverse side of this WBL dual left turn pocket.
- Redwood Street just east of Broadway Street is a single travel lane for eastbound traffic until Alameda Street, then transitions to two through EB lanes again.
- Restripe Redwood Street just west of Broadway Street, for the EB approach, needs to be restriped to only have one through EB lane. Right-most lane is converted into a right turn pocket, and inside through lane is already directed at the single receiving lane because of the slight change in direction (skew) for Redwood Street after Broadway Street.



(From Figure 3.36, shown here for convenience)

5.0 SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND RECOMMENDED MITIGATION

Traffic Operations Evaluation of Oregon Street and Napa Street Charter School Parking Lots Access Driveway

The nature of any elementary school with 900 or more students is that the am peak hour arrival time is typically condensed into a short amount of time (15-20 minutes), and long lines of traffic and delay result. It is typical that these lines will form with a composite queue length total



corresponding to about 1 foot per student enrollment. A quarter mile of total queue length is not uncommon at many large public elementary schools and can be even worse for private schools where bus systems are less effective or unavailable. Some schools can have a staggered start time program so that students will end up arriving at different times corresponding to start times of class. The result of this transportation demand management (TDM) is to spread out the inbound traffic flow, essentially cutting traffic arrival queues in half and lower impacts at intersections. The project's site plan and PRISM Engineering' proposed street improvements and circulation plan are shown in Figure 4.1 shows the project site and proposed local circulation needed to minimize impact to Napa St. at Valle Vista Ave. This figure is similar to Figure 3.9 which also shows the post office site and traffic flow pattern.



Vista Ave. Needed Improvements for Satisfactory Traffic Operations and Safety

What this figure shows is how traffic will need to be managed once it leaves the school site and enters Napa Street to head north (forced by raised median). School traffic is then forced again to turn right at Valle Vista Avenue (forced by raised island). This helps to keep traffic flowing out of the school in an orderly manner with minimum traffic impacts. Because of the mandatory right turn onto Napa Street and the right turn leaving Napa Street onto eastbound Valle Vista Avenue into an exclusive eastbound lane, there is no traffic impact from the school to westbound traffic on Valle Vista Ave. There is also no turning movement conflict with the proposed US Post



Office on Napa Street because the post office traffic is inbound only at the Napa Street driveway and will have no interaction with the charter school traffic on Napa Street.

What this figure does not show is the specific arrival queue that would form on Oregon Street without a staggered start time program at the Charter School. The charter school arrival queues would be significantly reduced by a staggered start time program where parents bring their child to school at a time corresponding with the start times of classes for various grade levels. These staggered start times have been selected to be 7:30 am, 7:45 am, and 8:00 am. Traffic for the school will be arriving via Oregon Street coming from Broadway Street (SB Broadway only). This forced arrival pattern minimizes impacts and keeps most turning movements are right turn only in the local vicinity. Right turns are much more easily accommodated than left turn movements at most intersections. However, since there is only 525 feet from the opening of the first school driveway on Oregon Street and Broadway Street, if queues back up beyond that distance there is the potential to block traffic operations for southbound Broadway Street at the intersection of Oregon Street. A staggered start time program enables avoiding this potential problem.

Charter School Arrival Queue.

The distance between the *exit* driveway of the project site and Oregon Street / Broadway Street intersection is about 900 feet, so that it could provide storage "as is" for the school up to the proposed 900 students (see Figure 4.1). This is based on the worst-case scenario where there is no staggered start time program in place, and where all students arrive at the typical condensed arrival situation. If the staggered start times are implemented as planned, then the current site plan is more than adequate to handle the arriving traffic. In the paragraphs that follow, mitigation scenarios are explained in more detail.

Staggered Start Time Mitigation Option.

One mitigation to this is the Caliber Charter School's proposed staggered start time program, where a portion of the students would have class start times different from other students in the school. The charter school proposed staggered start time program would break the students into three groups as follows:

- 1. Classes start at 7:30 for grades 5-8
- 2. Classes start at 7:45 for grades 1-4 and
- 3. Classes start at 8:00 am for TK-Kinder

Since the children will be graded on attendance and punctuality, this staggered start time method is enforceable. The peak hour of adjacent street traffic has been determined to be 7:45 am to 8:45 am. A certain portion of the students will arrive before the peak hour¹⁶ starts at 7:45 am, and remainder will arrive after this time, estimated to be 50%.

¹⁶ The am "Peak Hour" for the 11 study intersections varies from 7:30 am to 8:30 am, 7:45 to 8:45, and 8:00 to 9:00 am. If the average is considered 7:45 am to 8:45 am then a portion of the school traffic would arrive before this time, estimated to be 50%.



This splitting or spreading of the total traffic into separate parts by grade groups starting at different times would change the queue length for arrivals accordingly. With such a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program in place, the required queue length needed would only be about 450 feet (about half of the original needed 900 foot estimate). If the staggered start time TDM program were in effect, the resulting arrival queue would be well within the existing proposed 900 feet total length available with the proposed site plan.

Bus Turnout on Valle Vista Avenue.

Figure 4.1 shows the recommended improvements to the Napa St. and Valle Vista Ave. intersection, and shows an additional EB lane to accommodate the increase in flow coming out from Napa St. attributable to the charter school. Because there is an existing SolTrans bus stop on the northern border of the project site for Line 1, the school site should dedicate additional right-of-way to accommodate a bus turnout for this line since any bus stop there with the proposed new routing of future charter school traffic would potentially block through traffic operations, whereas in the existing condition, there is room for a bus to stop and not block through traffic. Figure 5.1 shows a similar bus stop configuration already in existence in the City of Vallejo along Sereno Drive east of Broadway Street in front of Kaiser at the Kaiser Entrance Street. Figure 5.2 shows the project boundary in green dash, and the proposed bus turnout location in yellow dash line. This proposed bus turnout is similar in size and scope to the existing bus stop installed at Sereno and Kaiser Entrance. The bus turnout will increase safety in traffic operations along Valle Vista Avenue and help prevent unsafe passing maneuvers around a stopped bus.







Mitigation of Year 2035 Cumulative Traffic at Sonoma Blvd. and Couch St.

The intersection of Sonoma Blvd. at Couch St. during the Year 2035 pm peak hour is projected to operate at LOS E without the project, and LOS F with the project. The simple mitigation for this condition was to add more signal time to the southbound approach. Adding 10 more seconds to the signal cycle's natural cycle length of 90 seconds to 100 seconds instead results in LOS C conditions overall. This additional 10 seconds would be added to the SB approach.



APPENDIX